



603(200)
G27p

GEOLOGY-PALEONTOLOGY LIBRARY
California Institute of Technology

CONTRIBUTIONS TO PALAEONTOLOGY
FROM THE CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON

PAPERS CONCERNING THE PALAEONTOLOGY OF
THE PLEISTOCENE OF CALIFORNIA AND
THE TERTIARY OF OREGON.

✓
MERRIAM, JOHN CAMPBELL, 1869-1945



PUBLISHED BY THE CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON, OCTOBER, 1925

603(200)



C27p

9

CONTRIBUTIONS TO PALAEONTOLOGY
FROM THE CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON

PAPERS CONCERNING THE PALAEONTOLOGY OF
THE PLEISTOCENE OF CALIFORNIA AND
THE TERTIARY OF OREGON.

✓
MERRIAM, JOHN CAMPBELL, 1869-194



PUBLISHED BY THE CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON
WASHINGTON, OCTOBER, 1925

FEB 8 1926

CARNEGIE INSTITUTION OF WASHINGTON
PUBLICATION No. 347

COPIES OF THIS BOOK FIRST
ISSUED OCTOBER 8, 1925

JUDD AND DETWEILER, INC.
WASHINGTON, D. C.

LIST OF PAPERS

- I. Relationships and Structure of the Short-Faced Bear, *Arctotherium*, from the Pleistocene of California. By JOHN C. MERRIAM and CHESTER STOCK. Pages 1 to 35, 10 plates, and 5 text-figures.
- II. A Llama from the Pleistocene of McKittrick, California. By JOHN C. MERRIAM and CHESTER STOCK. Pages 37 to 42, 4 text-figures.
- III. The Pliocene Rattlesnake Formation and Fauna of Eastern Oregon, with Notes on the Geology of the Rattlesnake and Mascall Deposits. By JOHN C. MERRIAM, CHESTER STOCK, and C. L. MOODY. Pages 43 to 92, 45 text-figures.

I.

RELATIONSHIPS AND STRUCTURE OF THE SHORT-
FACED BEAR, ARCTOTHERIUM, FROM THE
PLEISTOCENE OF CALIFORNIA.

By JOHN C. MERRIAM and CHESTER STOCK.

With ten plates and five text-figures.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Introduction	3
Systematic position of <i>Arctotherium</i> and its allies with relation to the typical <i>Ursidae</i>	4
Origin of the <i>Tremarctinae</i>	5
Summary of species of <i>Arctotherium</i> in the Pleistocene of North America	7
Occurrence in California of <i>arctotheres</i> and associated faunas	9
Potter Creek Cave	9
Rancho La Brea	10
McKittrick	11
Odontology and osteology of <i>Arctotherium</i>	11
Dentition	11
Axial skeleton	16
Appendicular skeleton	21
Bibliography	34

RELATIONSHIPS AND STRUCTURE OF THE SHORT-FACED BEAR, ARCTOTHERIUM, FROM THE PLEISTOCENE OF CALIFORNIA.

BY JOHN C. MERRIAM AND CHESTER STOCK.

INTRODUCTION.

The peculiar short-faced Californian bear, known as *Arctotherium simum*, was described by Cope in 1879 from a single specimen, consisting of a skull minus the lower jaw, found by J. A. Richardson in 1878 in Potter Creek Cave on the McCloud River in northern California. Since the description of *A. simum*, a nearly perfect skull with lower jaw and a large quantity of additional material, representing nearly all parts of the skeleton and dentition of this species, has been obtained from the deposits of Potter Creek Cave as a result of further work carried on for the University of California by E. L. Furlong and by W. J. Sinclair in 1902 and 1903.

Splendid material of *Arctotherium* has also been secured in the Pleistocene asphalt beds at Rancho La Brea by the Los Angeles Museum of History, Science, and Art. In 1911, J. C. Merriam directed attention to the presence of arctotheres in the Pleistocene fauna from Rancho La Brea, describing a few remains collected in these beds by the University of California. While no complete skeleton has been exhumed, approximately eleven are known from Rancho La Brea. Recently a few belonging to arctotheres have been obtained in an asphalt deposit near McKittrick, California.

In the present paper an endeavor is made to review all available material of *Arctotherium* now in the Museum of Palaeontology, University of California, and in the Los Angeles Museum of History, Science, and Art. For permission to study the Rancho La Brea collection in the Los Angeles Museum and for the opportunity thus afforded to render a fuller statement regarding *Arctotherium* than could be given from an investigation of a single collection, the authors wish to express their sincere appreciation to Dr. Wm. Alanson Bryan, Director of the Museum. Thanks are extended to Dr. Joseph Grinnell, Director of the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, and to the United States National Museum for the loan of specimens of Recent bears. The illustrations have been prepared by John L. Ridgway.

SYSTEMATIC POSITION OF ARCTOTHERIUM AND ITS ALLIES WITH RELATION TO THE TYPICAL URSIDAE.

The bears of the western hemisphere, including both Recent and Pleistocene forms, are divisible into two well-defined groups. One is represented by the typical *Ursus*, including several groups of species,¹ by *Evarctos* and by *Thalarcos*. The other group contains the living *Tremarctos* of South America and the allied fossil species of both South and North America included in the genus *Arctotherium*. The second of these divisions is separated from the typical bears by the following characters:

- (1) Skull with facial and frontal regions relatively short and broad.
- (2) General presence of more than one infra-orbital and of more than two incisive foramina.
- (3) Great anterior extension and depth of masseteric fossa and its division by a high, sharp ridge into two distinct excavations.
- (4) Dental series generally closed.
- (5) P₄ with metacone forming a shearing blade.
- (6) M₁ and M₂ relatively short and broad.
- (7) M₁ with trigonid trenchant rather than crushing, and without accessory tubercles.
- (8) Atlas with posterior opening of vertebralarterial canal on upper side of transverse process in advance of posterior edge.
- (9) Humerus with entepicondylar foramen.

Both of these groups have been represented in North America at least as far back as Middle Pleistocene time, and occur in deposits of both the Atlantic and Pacific coasts. As far back as they have been traced in America the distinctive characters continue to separate them, and are in fact more strongly expressed in some of the oldest forms known than in the existing species. The differences between the groups are greater than those ordinarily separating genera, and if we bring the classification of the bears to a level on which it will express the true relationships within the family they must be known as subfamilies. To represent their positions more distinctly, it is proposed that the name Arctinae² be applied to the first group and Tremarctinae to the second.

Within the Tremarctinae are two small groups represented by *Tremarctos* and *Arctotherium*. The true *Tremarctos* is known only in the Recent fauna, while *Arctotherium* is extinct. The typical *Arctotherium* is distinguished from the typical *Tremarctos* by its larger size, shorter head, simpler teeth, and ordinarily by a larger number of infraorbital foramina. If we had only the typical specimens of

¹ For a recent review of some of the living bears of North America see C. Hart Merriam North American Fauna No. 41, U. S. Depart. Agriculture, Bur. Biol. Surv., 1918.

² The name Ursinae has been used by Lydekker (1884, p. 239) to include *Ursus*, *Arctotherium*, and *Hyaenarctos*, contrasted with the dogs (Caninae) in his Ursidae; by Trouessart for the true bears, *Tremarctos*, *Melursus*, and *Hyaenarctos*, separated from the Ailurinae including *Ailuropus* and *Ailurus*; by Burmeister (1866, p. 144) to include the South American *Tremarctos*, *Arctotherium*, *Procyon cancrivorus*, and *Nasua socialis*; and by Winge (1895, p. 46) to include *Amphicyon*, *Simocyon*, *Hemicyon*, *Hyaenarctos*, *Aeluropus*, *Arctotherium*, *Melursus*.

Arctotherium and *Tremarctos*, there would be no difficulty in distinguishing the two genera, but there exists in *Arctotherium* (*Ursus*) *haplodon* Cope of the Port Kennedy Fissure, Pennsylvania, a form which is in many respects intermediate. This species was referred by Cope to *Ursus*, as he was uncertain concerning the character of the lower jaw in *Arctotherium*. Arctothere material from Potter Creek Cave and from Rancho La Brea in California shows the lower jaw to be essentially as in the South American *Arctotherium* or in *Tremarctos*. In most points the characters of the skull and dentition in the type from Pennsylvania are those of *Arctotherium*, but M_1 is narrower than in typical *Arctotherium* and M_2 has a larger heel than is seen elsewhere in that genus. The skull is imperfectly preserved in the specimen studied by Cope and is not well prepared, so that it has not been possible to make a satisfactory examination of the infraorbital foramina, but there appears to be but one opening. In all of these characters this specimen approaches the living *Tremarctos* of South America more closely than does any other form of *Arctotherium*. This species makes the separation of the two types more difficult. Nevertheless, they are to be considered as representing fairly distinct generic or subgeneric groups.

In the comparative table on page 6 a number of characters are listed in which *Tremarctos* and *Arctotherium* show distinctly closer affinity to *Hyaenarctos* and its allies of the Pliocene than is seen in the Pleistocene and Recent bears of the genus *Ursus*.

ORIGIN OF THE TREMARCTINAE.

Within the past few years notable additions have been made to our knowledge of the bears of the late Tertiary. *Hyaenarctid* bears of the genera *Hyaenarctos* and *Indarctos* were regarded until recently as characteristic of the Old World, but close relatives of these forms are now known to occur in Pliocene deposits in North America.

Since the tentative determination of *Hyaenarctos* by Freudenberg (1910, pp. 205-209, pl. 21, fig. 2; pl. 22, fig. 2.) from the brown coal of Tehuichila near the boundary between the States of Hidalgo and Vera Cruz, Mexico, hyaenarctid remains have been described by Sellards (1916) from Florida, by Merriam, Stock, and Moody (1916) from eastern Oregon, and by Childs Frick (1921) from southern California.

The occurrence in the North American Pliocene of forms of the *Hyaenarctos* type, with characters tending toward those of *Arctotherium* and *Tremarctos*, gives support to the assumption that the American Tremarctinae of the Pleistocene and Recent periods represent a line passing through or very close to the *Hyaenarctos* group. It is now possible to consider the origin of the Pleistocene members of the Tremarctinae in America rather than in Eurasia.

TABLE 1.

TREMARCOS.	ARCTOTHERIUM.	HYAENARCTOS.
1. Skull short and broad.	Skull short and broad.	Skull short and broad.
2. One infra-orbital foramen.	2 or more infra-orbital foramina.	3 infra-orbital foramina.
3. Median incisive foramen large.	Median incisive foramen large.	
4. Double masseteric fossa.	Double masseteric fossa.	Long, partly divided masseteric fossa. ¹
5. P ₄ with metacone tending to form shearing blade; protocone opposite notch between paracone and metacone.	P ₄ with metacone tending to form shearing blade; protocone opposite notch between paracone and metacone.	P ₄ with metacone as shearing blade; protocone anterior in position.
6. M ₁ , longer than wide; 3 inner tubercles; metastyle present.	M ₁ , square or slightly longer than wide; 3 inner tubercles; metastyle may be present.	M ₁ , square; inner tubercles; incipient metastyle present.
7. M ₂ , heel not long or narrow, but relatively broad.	M ₂ , heel relatively short and narrow.	M ₂ , without heel. ²
8. M ₁ , protoconid and paraconid forming shearing blades.	M ₁ , protoconid and paraconid forming shearing blades.	M ₁ , protoconid and paraconid forming shearing blades.
9. Humerus with entepicondylar foramen.	Humerus with entepicondylar foramen.	Humerus not known. ³

We may conceive of *Arctotherium*, or its immediate predecessor, as originating in North America in the Pliocene and entering South America after the land connection between the two continents was established.

Two forms from South America described by Ameghino (1889) as *Pararctotherium* and *Proarctotherium* have been assumed to be ancestral types of the South American arctotheres. *Pararctotherium* is known from the Pampean formation, which corresponds to a considerable portion of the Pleistocene of North America. *Pararctotherium* is clearly a member of the *Arctotherium* group in which M₂ is considerably specialized. The anterior premolars of *Pararctotherium* are crowded, and the facial region was evidently shortened. There is no good reason for considering that this type is ancestral to *Arctotherium*; in fact, it may be one of the more specialized members of the *Arctotherium* group.

Proarctotherium is known from a very fragmentary specimen consisting of M₂ and M₃ from Parana. The Parana deposits have been assumed to be Pliocene, and may contain a small number of North American Pliocene types. Ameghino's figure (Ameghino, 1889, p. 319, pl. 21, fig. 1) of the type specimen shows a M₂ not

¹ Double masseteric fossa apparently present in *Agriotherium* (*Hyaenarctos*) *schneideri* Sellards.

² M₂ with short and broad heel in *Indarctos salmontanus* Pilgrim and in *Indarctos? oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody.

³ Humerus with entepicondylar foramen in *Indarctos? oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody.

differing materially from that of *Arctotherium*. $M\bar{3}$ is large and elongate, approximating the form seen in typical Pleistocene *Arctotherium*, as in *A. simum*.

The type specimen of *Proarctotherium* evidently represents a typical member of the *Arctotherium* group. As the occurrence of a representative of the *Hyaenarctos* type seems now fairly established for the late Tertiary of Mexico, it is of course possible that a member of the group reached South America with the earliest of the emigrants from North America. While the characters of $M\bar{2}$ in the Parana form do not differ greatly from those of *Hyaenarctos*, $M\bar{3}$ is of a distinctly more advanced type. The nature of the specialization of $M\bar{3}$ is such as we commonly find associated with an antero-posteriorly elongated heel of the last upper molar and is not to be expected in a Pliocene bear. Apparently the geologic occurrence of the Parana specimen must remain in doubt until other material of this nature appears in the Parana.

SUMMARY OF SPECIES OF ARCTOTHERIUM IN THE PLEISTOCENE OF NORTH AMERICA.

Five species of arctotheres have been described from the Pleistocene of North America: *Arctodus pristinus* Leidy; *Arctotherium simum* Cope; *Arctotherium haplodon* Cope; *Arctotherium yukonense* Lambe; *Arctotherium californicum* J. C. Merriam.

Arctodus pristinus was described from South Carolina by Leidy (1854). Leidy's later description of *Arctodus* (Leidy, 1860) is accompanied by figures of the type specimen, an unworn second lower molar. *Arctodus* therefore seems determinable, but the writers hesitate to assign this form to the generic group to which the later described arctotheres of North America have been referred until Leidy's original material can be examined. Should the characters of *Arctodus* be shown eventually to agree with those of *Arctotherium*, the former name has priority, for *Arctodus* antedates *Arctotherium* Bravard.

A. haplodon from the Port Kennedy Fissure, Pennsylvania, seems considerably nearer to the existing species of *Tremarctos* of South America than is *A. simum*. It seems to be also the nearest of all the *Arctotherium* species to *Tremarctos* forms, but in spite of a stronger resemblance to *Tremarctos* is still apparently a typical *Arctotherium*.

A. yukonense from Gold-Run Creek, Yukon, is based by Lambe (1911) on a skull that exceeds in size the specimen described by Cope as *A. simum*. In several characters *A. yukonense* exhibits similarity to *A. simum*.

A. simum was described by Cope (1879; 1891) from northern California, while *A. californicum* was determined by J. C. Merriam




FIG. 1.—Outline map showing known occurrences of Pleistocene and Pliocene bears in the United States west of the Wasatch Range.

- (1) *Arctotherium simum* Cope, Potter Creek Cave, Shasta County, California; (2) *Arctotherium californicum* Merriam, Rancho La Brea, California; (3) *Arctotherium*, near *simum* Cope, McKittrick, California; (4) *Hyaenarctos gregoryi* Frick, Pliocene Eden beds near Beaumont, California; (5) *Indarctos? oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody, Pliocene Rattlesnake beds, Eastern Oregon.

(1911) on a small collection consisting principally of metapodials secured at Rancho La Brea, California. The type from the asphalt beds was decidedly larger than representatives of *Arctotherium simum* from Potter Creek Cave and the two forms were regarded as specifically distinct. It appears evident, from present study, that the disparity in size between the arctotheres of northern and southern California may not be greater than that falling within the limits of sexual variation in some living bears.

Until the time relationship of the mammalian faunas from Potter Creek Cave and from Rancho La Brea can be definitely established, it seems desirable to recognize the arctothere from the latter deposit as a species or at least as a subspecies, distinct from *A. simum*. Considering the North American members of the genus, *Arctotherium simum*, *A. californicum*, and perhaps *A. yukonense*, represent a group of very closely related species.

OCCURRENCE IN CALIFORNIA OF ARCTOTHERES AND ASSOCIATED FAUNAS.

POTTER CREEK CAVE.

The occurrence of vertebrate remains in the Pleistocene deposits of Potter Creek Cave, Shasta County, California, has been fully discussed by W. J. Sinclair (1904). The deposits which accumulated in this cave consisted in large part of reddish cave-earth interstratified with gravel or rubble layers and stalagmitic materials. The depth to which excavation could be carried varied considerably in different portions of the cave, the greatest depth reached being about 25 feet. The stratigraphy of the deposits was determined with little difficulty in most cases and over a large portion of the cave it was possible to determine the time of entombment of each specimen with reference to the time of burial of other remains nearby.

Remains of *Arctotherium* were found scattered through the greater part of the cave accumulation. The bones were in most instances disconnected and scattered about, but near the middle of the cave many of the bones of several individuals were found together. The skull described by Cope was obtained at this place, and presumably belongs to an individual represented by one of the skeletons now in the collection of the University of California.

It is interesting to note that the arctotheres, while associated with the genus *Ursus* in the Pleistocene mammalian assemblage living in the region of Potter Creek Cave, were represented by a greater number of individuals than were the latter.

The mammalian fauna of Potter Creek Cave contains the following forms:

Scapanus latimanus (Bachman).	Citellus beecheyi douglasi (Richardson).
Antrozous pallidus pacificus C. H. Merriam.	Eutamias sp.
Arctotherium simum Cope.	Callospermophilus chrysodeirus (C. H. Merriam).
Ursus n. sp.	Sciurus douglasi albolimbatus Allen.
Aenocyon dirus (Leidy).	Sciuropterus alpinus klamathensis C. H. Merriam.
Vulpes cascadenis C. H. Merriam.	Sylvilagus auduboni (Baird).
Urocyon cinereoargenteus townsendi C. H. Merriam.	Nothrotherium shastense Sinclair.
Bassariscus astutus raptor (Baird).	Megalonyx wheatleyi (?) Cope.
Mustela arizonensis (Mearns).	Megalonyx jeffersonii (?) (Desmarest).
Spilogale n. sp.	Megalonyx n. sp.
Mephitis occidentalis Baird.	Megalonyx sp.
Taxidea n. sp. (?)	Camelid.
Felis, probably n. sp.	Bison sp.
Felis n. sp.	Odocoileus sp. a.
Lynx fasciatus Rafinesque.	Odocoileus sp. b.
Lynx fasciatus n. subsp. (?)	Euceratherium collinum Sinclair and Furlong.
Neotoma cinerea occidentalis Baird.	Oreamnos americanus (Ord).
Microtus californicus (Peale).	Platygonus (?), sp.
Thomomys microdon Sinclair.	Equus occidentalis Leidy.
Thomomys leucodon C. H. Merriam.	Equus pacificus Leidy.
Aplodontia californica fossilis Sinclair.	Mammut americanum (Kerr).
Marmota flaviventer (Audubon and Bachman).	Elephas primigenius Blumenbach.

RANCHO LA BREA.

Remains of arctotheres were first encountered at Rancho La Brea during the investigation conducted by the Department of Palaeontology, University of California. Later work by the Los Angeles Museum resulted in the collection of much additional material, representing probably not less than eleven individuals. A nearly complete skull and well-preserved limb elements were obtained in Pit 60 excavated by the Los Angeles Museum. Further notable collections were made by the Museum in Pits 77, 17, 9, and scattered materials have been obtained from other excavations. It is evident that *Arctotherium* was a contemporary of the typical Pleistocene mammalian assemblage from Rancho La Brea and was one of the largest of the carnivores occurring in this fauna. We note again an occurrence of this type in greater numbers than the bears of the genus *Ursus*. The mammals identified in the Rancho La Brea fauna are listed as follows:

Carnivora:

- Arctotherium californicum Merriam, J. C.
- Ursus sp.
- Aenocyon dirus (Leidy).
- Aenocyon milleri (Merriam, J. C.)
- Canis occidentalis furlongi Merriam, J. C.
- Canis ochropus orcutti Merriam, J. C.
- Canis andersoni Merriam, J. C.
- Urocyon californicus Mearns.

Carnivora:—Cont.

- Taxidea, possibly n. sp. ✓
- Mephitis occidentalis n. subsp.?
- Spilogale sp.
- Mustela sp.
- Smilodon californicus Bovard.
- Felis atrox bebbi Merriam, J. C.
- Felis daggetti Merriam, J. C.
- Lynx ruffus californicus Mearns.

Edentata:

- Myiodon harlani Owen.
- Myiodon harlani tenuiceps Stock.
- Megalonyx jeffersonii californicus Stock.
- Nothotherium shastense Sinclair.

Artiodactyla:

- Camelops hesternus (Leidy).
- Capromeryx minor Taylor.
- ?Antilocapra americana (Ord). *Myocastoridae*
- Odocoileus, sp. *Cervidae*

Artiodactyla—Cont.

- Bison antiquus Leidy. - *Bovidae*
- Platygonus, possibly n. sp. or n. subsp.
- Perissodactyla:
- Equus occidentalis Leidy.
- ?Tapirus sp.
- Proboscidea:
- Mammut americanum (Kerr)?
- Elephas imperator Leidy?
- Many rodents and lagomorphs.

McKITTRICK.

Excavation in an asphalt deposit near McKittrick, California, by the Carnegie Institution of Washington in cooperation with the Museum of Palaeontology of the University of California has yielded a Pleistocene fauna somewhat different from that of Rancho La Brea. A preliminary survey of the mammalian assemblage by Merriam and Stock¹ shows the presence of the following forms:

- | | |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------|
| Arctotherium, near simum Cope. | — Taxidea sp. <i>Ba</i> |
| Aenocyon dirus (Leidy). | — Equus occidentalis Leidy. |
| Canis, near ochropus Esch. | — Antilocapra? sp. |
| Felis atrox Leidy. | — Bison sp. |
| Felis, near daggetti Merriam, J. C. | — Camel, slender limbed form (Lama). |
| Myiodon? sp. | — Camelops sp. |
| | — Mammut, near americanum (Kerr). |

To this list other forms will probably be added as the excavation progresses and the fauna is studied in greater detail. Remains of *Arctotherium* are still incomplete, consisting only of a few teeth. The specimens represent a type close to *Arctotherium simum*.

ODONTOLOGY AND OSTEOLOGY OF ARCTOTHERIUM.

In the following description of *Arctotherium* from the Pleistocene of California the principal comparisons have been made on the one hand with known representatives of the Pliocene hyaenarctid group and on the other with existing members of the genera *Ursus* and *Tremarctos*. A skull and a skeleton of *Tremarctos ornatus* from South America (Nos. 171011 and 194309, U. S. Nat. Mus.) were available, and of the genus *Ursus* the skull and skeletal material of brown, black, and grizzly bears in the collections of the Museum of Vertebrate Zoology, University of California, were used.

DENTITION.

Dental formula: $\frac{3}{3}, \frac{1}{1}, \frac{4}{4}, \frac{2}{3}$

Incisors 1 and 2 are of nearly equal size, while incisor 3 is distinctly larger. The canine is relatively heavier than in *Tremarctos*. The crowns have the dimensions and proportions near those of *Indarctos? oregonensis* from the Rattlesnake formation (Lower

¹ Science, n. s., vol. 54, pp. 566-567, 1921.

Pliocene) of eastern Oregon. The roots may be smaller, relative to the size of the crown in *Arctotherium*, but are not thinner in either transverse or antero-posterior diameter. The three anterior premolars are single-rooted and are situated close together as in *Tremarctos*.

P₁ is larger than P₂ or P₃. The crown of the tooth has a single cusp, which in the skull, No. 3001 U. C. C., from Potter Creek Cave, is excavated considerably on the inner side of the base. P₂ is missing in the specimens from California, but according to the alveolus it was smaller than P₁ (plate 5, fig. 2).

P₄ is three-rooted. In this tooth the metacone tends to form a shearing blade as in *Tremarctos* (plate 5, figs. 1 and 2). In *Ursus* the metacone tends to be conical. The position of the protocone is opposite the notch between paracone and metacone, as in *Tremarctos*. This cusp varies somewhat in size, but is not as large as the cusp in *Hyaenarctos* and in *Indarctos* (plate 5, fig. 3). In *Ursus* the protocone seems generally to be situated slightly farther posteriorly than in *Arctotherium*. The position of the protocone is distinctly farther back than in *Hyaenarctos* and in modern carnivores in which this cusp is normally located at the inner side of the anterior end of the tooth. The parastyle, which is so largely developed in *Indarctos*? *oregonensis* and in *Hyaenarctos*, is absent in *Arctotherium*, but may be suggested in some specimens. The parastyle is figured by Blainville¹ in his illustration of the dentition of *Tremarctos ornatus*, but is absent in specimen 171011 of the U. S. National Museum.

M₁ is three-rooted. The largest but shortest root supports the inner side. The two outer roots support principally the paracone and metacone. The root supporting the metacone is the larger of the two. The crown of this tooth has more the proportions of M₁ of *Hyaenarctos* than of either *Ursus* or *Tremarctos*. M₁ in the arctotheres of California approaches more nearly a perfect quadrate form than in other known species of *Arctotherium*. It is much nearer the square form in *A. simum* than in *A. bonaerense*. No cingulum is present along the inner side, as in *Ursus*, in which respect *Arctotherium* is more like *Tremarctos*. The antero-internal end of the tooth is angular, however, due to a variable development of a cingulum, making this part nearer rectangular and much more prominent than in *A. bonaerense* or in other species.

The paracone and metacone are the prominent cusps on the crown of M₁. In contrast to the tooth in *Hyaenarctos* a parastyle is present and a style posterior to the metacone (metastyle) is also developed. The metastyle and particularly the parastyle are more prominent features in *Arctotherium* than in *Ursus* or in *Tremarctos*. A cingulum may be faintly defined along the outer base of the meta-

¹ H. M. D. Blainville, Ostéographie des mammifères, Atlas 2, pl. 12, 1864.

cone. In M_1 of *Hyaenarctos gregoryi* according to Frick (1921, p. 342, figs. 51a and 51b) a marked cingulum is lacking. Of the three distinct internal tubercles in M_1 of *A. simum*, the middle one is usually the largest or longest; it is relatively more prominent than in *Ursus*. In *Tremarctos* an inner ridge is present and does not show clear division into tubercles, as in *Arctotherium*. This seems to be true also for *Hyaenarctos gregoryi*. The ridge connecting the antero-internal cusp with the parastyle is not so pronounced as in *Ursus*. Between the principal inner and outer cusps are several minor tubercles, while in this region in M_1 of *Tremarctos* the enamel is crenulated. A character found in this tooth of *Arctotherium*, which is not seen in other bears, is the presence of a tubercle (hypostyle) near the middle of the posterior side.

In M_2 there were evidently four original root elements. The roots beneath paracone and metacone tend to diverge widely, the element below the metacone being in some cases united with the posterior root supporting the heel. In *Arctotherium* the root supporting the protocone may or may not unite with the root below the heel. The corresponding tooth of *Indarctos? oregonensis* has two distinct external roots supporting paracone and metacone, but they do not diverge. The inner and posterior regions are supported by a large, broad root comparable to that occurring in most specimens of *Arctotherium* from California.

The crown of M_2 is a little narrower than in *A. bonaerense*. Like M_1 the anterior inner corner is nearly rectangular, owing to the prominence of the cingulum. The transverse width across the paracone is greater than that across the metacone, as in *Indarctos? oregonensis* (plate 5, fig. 4), while in *Ursus* and in *Tremarctos* this difference is not so noticeable. No cingulum is present along the inner side, in which character *Arctotherium* agrees with *Tremarctos* and differs from *Ursus*. The inner tubercles are faintly indicated.

M_2 differs from that of *Indarctos? oregonensis* in its smaller width and much longer talon. In *Arctotherium* the primitive quadritubercular portion of the tooth is longer anteroposteriorly and the heel is much longer. The arrangement of the tubercle is much the same in the two types, but the tubercles show stronger lateral compression in *Arctotherium*.

The crown of the lower canine in *A. simum* is sharply concave on the posterior side. The three anterior premolars of the lower dentition have simple cusps and are single-rooted teeth, as in *Tremarctos* (plate 5, figs. 5 and 6). In *Hyaenarctos punjabiensis*, as figured by Lydekker (R. Lydekker, 1884, pl. 31, fig. 1 and 1a) there are only two alveoli present in front of P_4 . According to Sellards (1916, p. 99) a single alveolus, probably for P_2 , is present in *Agriotherium* (*Hyaenarctos*) *schneideri* anterior to P_4 (plate 5, fig. 7).

P $\bar{4}$ has a larger crown than in the preceding teeth in *Arctotherium*, with a single cusp as in *Tremarctos* and in *Ursus*. The two roots have fused. In *Tremarctos* and in *Ursus* the roots are separated. In the Indian *Hyaenarctos* and in the Florida *Agriotherium* P $\bar{4}$ is a much larger tooth than in *Arctotherium*. In the Florida specimen (plate 5, fig. 7), as shown by Sellards, P $\bar{4}$ possesses an anteroposterior diameter which is approximately half that of M $\bar{1}$. The crown of the tooth is supported by two large roots.

M $\bar{1}$ in *Arctotherium* resembles this tooth in *Tremarctos* and differs from that in *Ursus* in possessing a shearing trigonid region. In M $\bar{1}$ of *Ursus* a series of tubercles extends forward from the metaconid to the paraconid, giving a crushing trigonid region. *Arctotherium* also resembles *Hyaenarctos* in having a shearing trigonid. In *Agriotherium* (*Hyaenarctos*) from Florida, along the inner side of the base of the paraconid, there is present a vestige of a cingulum which is absent in *Arctotherium* and in the Indian *Hyaenarctos punjabiensis*. M $\bar{1}$ in *Arctotherium* does not taper to the anterior end so noticeably as in the Recent or Pliocene members of the Tremarctinae.

The metaconid in M $\bar{1}$ is relatively smaller than in *Ursus*. In *Hyaenarctos* this cusp is quite prominent. In *Arctotherium* the outer side of M $\bar{1}$ is deeply indented between trigonid and talonid regions—more so than in *Hyaenarctos* and distinctly more so than in *Tre-*

TABLE 2.—Measurements (in millimeters) of dentition of *Arctotherium simum* from Potter Creek Cave, No. 3001, University of California collection.

	No. 3001.		No. 3001.
Greatest transverse diameter of incisor series, measured at cingulum of I $\bar{3}$	57.2	M $\bar{2}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	35
Length from anterior side of $\bar{C}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{2}$	136.8	M $\bar{2}$, greatest transverse diameter...	22
Length from anterior side of P $\bar{4}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{2}$	76	Length from anterior side $\bar{C}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$	155
I $\bar{1}$, greatest transverse diameter....	7.9	Length from posterior side $\bar{C}$ to anterior side of M $\bar{1}$	46
I $\bar{2}$, greatest transverse diameter....	9	Length from anterior side M $\bar{1}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$	77
I $\bar{3}$, greatest transverse diameter....	10.8	$\bar{C}$, antero-posterior diameter at base of enamel.....	39
$\bar{C}$, antero-posterior diameter at base of enamel.....	27.9	P $\bar{4}$, antero-posterior diameter.....	12.5
P $\bar{1}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	10.4	P $\bar{4}$, transverse diameter.....	7.8
P $\bar{3}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	8.5	M $\bar{1}$, antero-posterior diameter....	31.5
P $\bar{3}$, greatest transverse diameter....	5	M $\bar{1}$, transverse diameter across protoconid.....	15
P $\bar{4}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	20.5	M $\bar{1}$ width of heel.....	16
P $\bar{4}$, transverse diameter across protocone.....	15	M $\bar{2}$, antero-posterior diameter....	27
M $\bar{1}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	24	M $\bar{2}$, transverse diameter across protoconid.....	19.5
M $\bar{1}$, greatest transverse diameter....	23	M $\bar{3}$, antero-posterior diameter.....	20
		M $\bar{3}$, transverse diameter across protoconid.....	16

marctos. The entoconid is relatively farther forward than in *Ursus*, *Arctotherium* resembling *Hyaenarctos* and *Tremarctos* in this respect.

M₂ of *Arctotherium* resembles the tooth in *Hyaenarctos*, and differs from that in *Ursus* in possessing a transverse diameter across the trigonid region which is greater than that across the talonid region. The trigonid region is basin-shaped and is bordered posteriorly by

TABLE 3.—Measurements (in millimeters) of dentition of *Arctotherium californicum*.
Los Angeles Museum collections.

	No. Z 1.	No. Z 2.	No. Z 4.	No. Z 5.
Greatest transverse diameter of incisor series, measured at cingulum of I ₃	64.2			
Length from anterior side of $\overline{C}$ to posterior side of M ₂	144.4		145.6	
Length from anterior side of P ₄ to posterior side of M ₂	80.8	81.3		
I ₁ , greatest transverse diameter.....	8.9			
I ₂ , greatest transverse diameter.....	9.2			
I ₃ , greatest transverse diameter.....	10.7			
$\overline{C}$, anteroposterior diameter at base of enamel.....	29.3		26.5	
P ₁ , greatest anteroposterior diameter.....				
P ₂ , anteroposterior diameter of alveolus.....	6.5			
P ₃ , greatest anteroposterior diameter.....	7.9			
P ₃ , greatest transverse diameter.....	5			
P ₄ , greatest anteroposterior diameter.....	20.5	20.8	23.5	
P ₄ , transverse diameter across protocone.....	15.8	15.8	17.1	
M ₁ , greatest anteroposterior diameter.....	25.2	25.4	25	26.3
M ₁ , greatest transverse diameter.....	22.8	23.2	24	24.9
M ₂ , greatest anteroposterior diameter.....	36.5	25.9	37.3	35.8
M ₂ , greatest transverse diameter.....	22.9	23.3	23.6	23.7
M ₂ , anteroposterior diameter of heel from metacone to end.....	13.7	15.3	14	

	No. Z 6.	No. Z 7.	No. Z 8.	No. Z 9.	No. Z 10.	No. Z 19.	No. Z 53.	No. Z 52.
Length from anterior side $\overline{C}$ to posterior side of M ₃								
Length from posterior side $\overline{C}$ to anterior side of M ₁							49.5	
Length from anterior side M ₁ to posterior side of M ₃			81	a81	82		84	84
$\overline{C}$, anteroposterior diameter at base of enamel.....	a27		a27				30.7	
P ₄ , anteroposterior diameter.....			13.5			13.8	13.2	
P ₄ , transverse diameter.....			7.2			8.5	17.2	
M ₁ , anteroposterior diameter.....	35	31.3	32.4	32	31.7	32.4	33.5	32
M ₁ , transverse diameter across protoconid.....	16.6	15.1	16	15.5	14.5	16.2	15.3	15.6
M ₁ , width of heel.....	18	16.7	16.7	17	17.2	17.2	17.5	16.5
M ₂ , anteroposterior diameter.....	31.7	30.2	30.8	30.4	30.4	31.4	28	29.1
M ₂ , transverse diameter across protoconid.....	22.4	21	21.4	21.6	20.8	21.6	21.8	21.3
M ₃ , anteroposterior diameter.....		21.4	21.3	21.8	21.9		22.1	22.6
M ₃ , transverse diameter across protoconid.....		17	17.2	17	16.9		17.6	17.8

a. Approximate.

the large protoconid and metaconid. The talonid region is relatively short as in *Hyaenarctos* and *Tremarctos*, differing in this respect from that in *Ursus*. The crown of $M\bar{2}$ shows a smaller number of tubercles than in *Ursus*, but a greater number than in *Hyaenarctos*.

$M\bar{3}$ is supported by a single root. The crown is subtriangular, differing in shape from that in *Hyaenarctos*. It is bordered by a low rim of enamel within which the surface is marked by a number of small papillae. In lacking a decided tuberculation the occlusal surface in this tooth resembles that in *Tremarctos* and differs from that in *Ursus*.

That the molar teeth may vary in size independently of the size of the skull is indicated by specimens of the lower jaw from Rancho La Brea. In No. Z 29, $M\bar{1}$ and $M\bar{2}$ are larger than the corresponding teeth in No. Z 7, yet the ramus of the former, measured between these teeth, shows a height 15 mm. less than in the latter.

AXIAL SKELETON.

Skull.—The skull of *Arctotherium* from Potter Creek Cave described by Cope and the complete specimen (plate 2) from the same locality, now in the collection of the University of California, are distinctly smaller than the skull of *A. yukonense*. Most of the skull materials from Rancho La Brea resemble more in size *A. simum* than *A. yukonense*. One specimen, No. Z 5, L. A. M., unfortunately poorly preserved, belonged apparently to a very large male individual, approaching in size the type described by Lambe.

In the proportions of the skull *Arctotherium* resembles *Tremarctos*. The skull is not as wide across the zygomatic arches (plate 3, fig. 1) as in the large brown bears of Alaska. In *Arctotherium* the snout is distinctly broader and the cranium is noticeably wider across the postorbital processes and at the postorbital constriction than in *U. sheldoni*. The external nares, contrasted with those of *Ursus*, are relatively large and their greatest width is situated above the middle of the opening, giving it a true cordate cross-section. In *Ursus* the greatest diameter is nearer the base of the opening, and the outline is not distinctly cordate. The nasal bones are short and broad, the transverse diameter of the nasals taken together and at their anterior end equaling considerably more than half their length. The superior process of the premaxillary is usually short and blunt, more as in *Tremarctos* than in *Ursus*. The dorsal surface of the frontal behind the postorbital processes is not sharply demarcated laterally by temporal crests. The frontal region is gently convex transversely except for a slight median concavity. Viewed from the side, the frontal vaults higher above the orbits than in either *U. sheldoni* or in *Tremarctos* and the dorsal contour does not drop so abruptly to the muzzle as in the former. The orbit is comparable in

size to that in the large brown bears, but is directed laterally rather more than in these forms. The position of the orbit in *Tremarctos* is more like that in *Ursus*.

The parietal region is not materially different from that of *Ursus*, except possibly in the nature of the parietal foramina, of which there is on each side, in skull 3001 U. C. C., a large lower foramen near the parietal-squamosal suture and a smaller one just below the point of union of the sagittal and lambdoidal crests. In skull Z 1 L. A. M. Coll. from Rancho La Brea, the two foramina, comparable to those in the Potter Creek Cave specimen, are present only on the right side. In No. Z 1 the smaller foramen is situated lower than in No. 3001. A sagittal crest is formed by the parietals. The lambdoidal crest possesses a simple convex curve from the median line to the squamosal. A thin median longitudinal crest is present on the occiput (plate 4, fig. 2). The foramen magnum is larger than in *Ursus sheldoni* and it is oval in shape, with greatest diameter transverse. The paroccipital process is thin toward the base and comes to a blunt point somewhat as in *Tremarctos*. The lower end is almost of even length with the mastoid process. In *Ursus* the process is thicker and does not descend nearly so far as the mastoid process. The paroccipital process is not so far removed from the condyle in *Arctotherium* as in *Ursus*. While the mastoid process in *Arctotherium* is heavy, it is not as prominently developed as in *Ursus*. The process possesses, however, more individuality than in *Tremarctos*.

The tympanic forms a small but distinct bulla (plate 3, fig. 2). This structure is apparently better developed than in *Tremarctos*. In *Ursus* the tympanic is flattened. Laterally the tympanic is drawn out into a process between the mastoid and the postglenoid processes as in *Tremarctos*. The condylar foramen is relatively small and is situated close to the inner base of the paroccipital process and to the foramen lacerum posterius. No tuberosity is formed where basi-occipital and tympanic meet as in *Ursus*.

Behind the pterygoid the alisphenoid continues the lateral wall of the posterior narial opening and the postero-inferior margin ascends directly to the front and to the outer side of the eustachian canal and the foramen lacerum medius. The foramen ovale seems to be situated slightly closer to the foramen lacerum medius than in *Ursus*. An alisphenoid canal is present. The foramen rotundum lies within the recess of the alisphenoid canal. Close to the anterior opening of this canal is situated the foramen lacerum anterius as in the skull of *Tremarctos*; in *Ursus* the two openings are farther apart.

The lateral wall of the frontal behind the postorbital process projects considerably beyond that forming the floor of the orbit and its border extends downward and backward from the process, defining the grooves that lodge nerves and blood-vessels to the eye.

The foramen transmitting a branch of the trigeminal nerve and blood-vessels to the interior of the nose is much larger than in the Alaskan brown bears, while the posterior opening of the palatine canal is quite small. There are two external infraorbital openings which are quite widely separated and lead into canals that are distinct to the orbital face of the maxillary. In the type specimen of *Arctotherium simum* three facial exits are present. In *Tremarctos* and in *Ursus* only a single facial exit occurs.

The post-narial notch is farther behind the tooth rows in *Arctotherium* (plate 3, fig. 2) than in either *Tremarctos* or in *U. sheldoni*. The palate is absolutely and relatively wider than in *Ursus*. The post-palatine foramina are situated about opposite the front end of M₂; in *Tremarctos* they are slightly farther forward, while in *Ursus* they are situated about opposite the hypocone in M₂. The grooves running forward from these foramina seem less distinct than in *Ursus*. The farthest point forward in median line reached by the maxillo-palatine suture is nearly opposite the middle of M₁, in which respect *Arctotherium* resembles *Tremarctos*, while in *Ursus* the suture forms a Λ with the apex reaching a point opposite the posterior cusp of P₄.

Three anterior palatine openings are present, but the medial opening is much larger than in *Ursus*, *Arctotherium* resembling *Tremarctos* in this character.

Mandible.—A very marked characteristic of the ramus of the mandible of *Arctotherium* (plate 4, fig. 3) is the presence of a double masseteric fossa, the two depressions being separated by a sharp, oblique ridge extending from the anterior base of the coronoid process to the posterior inferior margin.¹ The upper fossa has about the area and depth of that in *Ursus americanus* and is very little smaller than that of *Arctotherium bonaerense*. The anterior or lower depression is very deep and may extend forward to a point below the middle of M₂. At the lower margin where the fossa comes very close to the inferior border of the jaw it may have a depth of 15 mm. or more. A double masseteric fossa occurs in other species of arctotheres and in *Tremarctos*, but is absent in *Ursus*. In the mandible of *Hyaenarctos punjabiensis*, figured by Lydekker (1884, pl. 31, fig. 1), the region posterior to M₃ is not well preserved. There is, however, no indication of a fossa extending along the horizontal ramus below the posterior molar teeth, as in the Pleistocene genus.

In the fragmentary ramus of *Agriotherium* (*Hyaenarctos*) *schneideri* (Sellards, E. H., 1916, pl. 12), from the Bone Valley formation (Upper Miocene or Lower Pliocene) of Florida, there occurs a type of masseteric fossa comparable, apparently, to that in *Arctotherium*.

¹ Ameghino (1902, p. 228), in the description of the species *Arctotherium wingei*, states that the masseteric fossa is simple, but the evidence of his figures is not entirely clear on this point.

The region posterior to $M\bar{3}$ is also broken away in this specimen, but a noticeable depression is present in the horizontal ramus lying for the most part beneath $M\bar{3}$. There seems good reason for believing that this fossa is equivalent to the lower depression in *Arctotherium*.

Several jaws have been found in Potter Creek Cave, in which the coronoid process is preserved. This process is broad at the base and at its upper end projects backward as a sharp process, as in *Tremarc-*

TABLE 4.—Comparative measurements (in millimeters) of skulls of *Arctotherium simum* and *A. californicum*.

	Arctotherium simum from Potter Creek Cave, No. 3001, U. C. Coll.	Arctotherium californicum from Rancho La Brea, No. Z 1, L. A. M. Coll.
<i>Cranium.</i>		
Length, anterior end of premaxillary to inion.....	391	418.2
Length, anterior end of premaxillary to posterior end of condyle.....		387.4
Length, anterior end of premaxillary to inferior notch between condyles.....		362.8
Length, anterior end of premaxillary to anterior border of posterior nasal opening.....		210.5
Length, from posterior end glenoid cavity to posterior end of condyle.....		111.9
Length, anterior side of premaxillary to posterior side of auditory meatus.....	300	323.4
Length, from postorbital process of frontal to inion.....	220	244.8
Length, from anterior border of premaxillary to anterior side of orbit.....	110	132
Length, from anterior border of orbit to posterior side of auditory meatus.....	192	189
Greatest width across muzzle from outer walls of canine alveoli.....	101.5	106.8
Width across frontal at narrowest point between orbits....	112	126
Greatest width across postorbital processes.....	a150	154
Least width of post-orbital constriction.....		100
Greatest width across zygomatic arches.....		247.6
Greatest width across mastoid processes.....	a167	185.7
Greatest diameter across condyles.....		77
Palate, width between middle internal borders of $M\bar{1}$	80	71
Width of nasals anteriorly.....	47	
Length of nasals.....	81	
Width of anterior nares.....	77	76.4
Height of anterior nares.....	63	
Height of orbit.....	50	50
Height, inferior border of maxillary to top of frontal between postorbital processes of frontal.....	121	143.4
Height of inion above superior border of auditory meatus..	94	103.2
Height of inion above base of occipital condyles.....		119.8
<i>Mandible.</i>		
Length from posterior side of condyle to anterior alveolar border.....	262	
Height at anterior end $M\bar{1}$	58.5	
Height at anterior end $M\bar{3}$	75.4	
Thickness below posterior end $M\bar{1}$	25.5	

a. Approximate.

tos. While the horizontal ramus is large and heavy, it by no means reaches the dimensions seen in *Agriotherium* (*Hyaenarctos*) from the Tertiary of Florida. In the rami of *Arctotherium* from Rancho La Brea two mental foramina have been noted. The anterior foramen is the larger and is situated below the posterior end of $P\bar{4}$, while the posterior foramen is below the middle of $M\bar{1}$. In specimen 3001 U. C. C., from Potter Creek Cave, a small foramen is situated below the protoconid of $M\bar{1}$, a larger foramen below the front end of $P\bar{4}$, and a still larger foramen between and below the alveoli for $P\bar{3}$ and $P\bar{2}$. In *Agriotherium* (*Hyaenarctos*) *schneideri* the largest of the mental foramina is situated below the posterior end of $P\bar{4}$. Several smaller foramina are apparently present below the anterior premolars. In *Tremarctos* the anterior foramen is below $P\bar{3}$, while the small posterior foramen is below the posterior root of $M\bar{1}$.

Vertebrae.—The number of vertebrae of *Arctotherium simum* found in Potter Creek Cave is small, as is the case with most of the mammalian forms occurring in this deposit. Several cervical and dorsal vertebrae, found on or near the surface by Richardson, during one of his early visits to the cave, are the property of the U. S. National Museum and have been kindly loaned for study. The collections of the Los Angeles Museum contain dorsal and lumbar vertebrae belonging to *A. californicum*, but unfortunately many of these lack epiphyses and are poorly preserved. It is impossible at present to give the number of vertebrae of the dorsal, lumbar, and caudal regions, but the presumption is that the number is similar to that of the closely allied *Tremarctos*. In a member of the latter genus (No. 194309, U. S. Nat. Mus.) the vertebral formula is C 7, D 13, L 7, S 3, Ca 8 or 9.

In the atlas of *Arctotherium* (plate 6, figs. 1, 1a) the posterior opening of the vertebrarterial is on the upper side of the transverse process some distance in advance of the posterior margin, much as in the Canidae. In *Tremarctos* a somewhat similar position is to be noted for this opening. In *Ursus* the opening is on the upper side of the posterior face of the transverse process. In *Arctotherium* the posterior border of the transverse process is slightly notched, but the plates supporting the posterior articular faces for the axis are not so prominent as they may be in *Ursus*, and there is a very narrow posterior notch. The following gives in millimeters the measurements of the atlas of *Arctotherium simum* from Potter Creek Cave, No. 3035, University of California collection:

Greatest width across lateral processes	a177
Greatest transverse diameter across anterior articular faces.....	33.6
Least anteroposterior diameter of dorsal wall of neural canal.....	87.6
Greatest height of neural canal.....	39

a. Approximate.

The axis (plate 6, figs. 2, 2a) resembles that in *Tremarctos* and differs from that in *Ursus* in the following characters:

The relative size of the neural canal, the pointed odontoid process, and the depth of the neural spine in front of the pedicle of the arch.

The transverse process, although broken on each side of the single specimen available from Rancho La Brea, appears to have been short as in *Tremarctos*. The pedicle of the neural arch is narrow anteroposteriorly.

The following gives (in millimeters) the measurements of the axis of *A. californicum* from Rancho La Brea, No. Z 39, L. A. M. Coll.:

Transverse diameter across articulating surfaces for atlas.....	84.6
Greatest transverse width across postzygapophyses.....	64
Greatest length of neural spine.....	a80.7
Least anteroposterior diameter of pedicle of neural arch.....	22
Greatest length of vertebra from anterior end of odontoid process to posterior face of centrum.....	a82

a. Approximate.

In the cervical series from Potter Creek Cave (No. 2654, U. S. Nat. Mus.) the individual vertebrae are smaller than in the large Alaskan brown bear. The lateral processes are not well preserved. They appear to have been more slender than in *Ursus*. In these vertebrae the neural canal is large, the dorso-ventral diameter being greater than in the large brown bear.

TABLE 5.—Measurements (in millimeters) of cervical vertebrae, No. 2654, U. S. Nat. Mus.

	Cervi- cal 3.	Cervi- cal 4.	Cervi- cal 5.	Cervi- cal 6.	Cervi- cal 7.
Length of centrum.....	36.6	37.8	37.3	35.9	33.9
Width of centrum between inner borders of vertebralarterial canals.....	36	40.8	42.1	43.5	
Depth of centrum across posterior face.....	27.7	28.8	28.5	29	32
Greatest width across prezygapophyses.....	59.6	a68	70		74.4

a. Approximate.

A sacrum (No. Z 113, L. A. M. Coll.) of *A. californicum* is shown in plate 6, figures 9, 9a. In this specimen 3 sacral vertebrae are present. The posterior end is broken. The neural spines are distinct and all were presumably more prominent than in *Ursus*. The sacral foramina are larger than in the latter genus. The measurements of sacrum follow, in millimeters:

Greatest length (approx.).....	145
Greatest width of anterior end (approx.).....	130
Width across anterior articulating processes.....	56.3

APPENDICULAR SKELETON.

Scapula.—This element (plate 7, figs. 1, 1a) is a trifle narrower and longer than in the Alaskan brown bear, *Ursus sheldoni*, and seems to approach in its proportions the scapula in *Tremarctos*. The anterior

border, in its extent from the upper third to the coracoid region, has uniform curve as in *Tremarctos*. In *U. sheldoni* the anterior and inferior borders are demarcated by a sharp angle. The antero-inferior region of the prescapular fossa seems also to be bent more to the inner side in this form than in either *Arctotherium* or in *Tremarctos*. The posterior border in the upper half is carried back considerably as in *Tremarctos* and in *Ursus*. The acromion resembles that in *Tremarctos*. The end of the process does not curve inward as in *Ursus*. In front and at the base of the spine, about 80 mm. above the glenoid fossa, is a foramen, while on the opposite side of the spine are two larger foramina. These foramina are distinctly larger than in *U. sheldoni* and have a somewhat different position.

No distinct articulating facet is present in either *Arctotherium* or in *Tremarctos* on the posterior side of the spine near the base and along the inferior border as in *Ursus sheldoni*.

TABLE 6.—Measurements (in millimeters) of scapula.

	A. californicum, Rancho La Brea, No. Z 30, L. A. M. Coll.
Length, measured from glenoid articulation to dorsal border along axis of spine.....	331
Greatest width taken normal to anterior border.....	252
Greatest anteroposterior diameter of inferior extremity.....	95.2
Transverse diameter of glenoid articulation to outer side of acromion.....	119.5

Humerus.—The upper arm bone (plate 7, figs. 2, 2a, 3, 3a) is considerably longer than that of the large brown bear and may equal or exceed in length the humerus of the Pliocene *Indarctos? oregonensis*. Specimens of the humerus of *Arctotherium* from Potter Creek Cave and from Rancho La Brea are decidedly more slender than that of the Pliocene form. At the proximal end the groove between the head and the greater tuberosity is not so deep as in *Ursus*. The greater tuberosity does not have a deep excavation on the outer surface as in *Ursus*. The bicipital groove is wider and shallower than in *Ursus*, resembling the groove in the humerus of *Tremarctos*.

The proximal half of the shaft does not flatten transversely and widen anteroposteriorly relatively so much as in *Felis atrox* or in *Smilodon*. The deltoid ridge is not prominent, nor is the supinator ridge so conspicuous as in *Ursus*.

Arctotherium resembles *Indarctos? oregonensis* and *Tremarctos*, and differs from *Ursus* in the presence of an entepicondylar foramen in the humerus. The bar inclosing the opening is more slender than in the large Pleistocene Felidae from Rancho La Brea.

TABLE 7.—Measurements (in millimeters) of humerus.

	A. simum, Potter Creek Cave.		A. californicum, Rancho La Brea.		
	No. 3001, U. C. C.	No. 3039, U. C. C.	No. Z 30, L. A. M. C.	No. Z 77, L. A. M. C.	No. 20085, U. C. C.
Greatest length.....	447.8	445.7	497		446.3
Greatest antero-posterior diam- eter of proximal end measured from anterior end of greater tuberosity.....	110		118.5		107.6
Greatest width of proximal end..	91.9	90	97.3		95.2
Transverse diameter of shaft at middle.....	41.2	40.9	43.5	46.4	42.4
Antero-posterior diameter of shaft at middle.....	46.2	48.7	53	60	48.8
Greatest width at distal end.....	123.2		126.8	156	126.7
Greatest width of distal articula- tion.....	90.2	91.6	97	116.4	92.8

Ulna.—The ulna (plate 8, figs. 1 and 2) is decidedly longer than that in the large brown bear and in the Pleistocene *Felis atrox*. When the bone is oriented so that the coronoid process is directed anteriorly, the plane of the olecranon process is seen to be widely removed from the principal longitudinal plane of the shaft. This divergence, seen also in *Ursus* and in *Tremarctos*, is much greater than that in the ulna of *Felis atrox* or of *Smilodon*. Also in *Arctotherium*, as in other ursids, the articulating surface for the humerus, situated at the upper end of the sigmoid notch and on the radial side of the ulna, reaches much nearer to the proximal border of the olecranon than in the ulna of either of the large Pleistocene felids from Rancho La Brea. The process forming the proximal end of the sigmoid notch is much more slender than in *Felis atrox* or in *Smilodon*.

TABLE 8.—Measurements of ulna (in millimeters).

	A. simum, Potter Creek Cave.		A. californicum, Rancho La Brea.		
	No. 3426, U. C. Coll.	No. 3145, U. C. Coll.	No. Z 30, L. A. M. Coll.	No. Z 32, L. A. M. Coll.	No. 20088, U. C. Coll.
Greatest length.....	445.8	421.2	471	a475	433.5
Greatest width of olecranon process.....	79.3	71.5	84		a66
Width from posterior border to tip of coronoid process.....	71	83.6	85.4		75.3
Least distance from sigmoid notch to posterior border.....	41.5	46	46.7		39.6
Greatest diameter of distal end..	46.8	50.4			46

a. Approximate.

The posterior border of the ulna is rounded, in which respect *Arctotherium* is more like *Tremarctos* than like *Ursus*. A continuous articulating surface is present for the radius as in *Tremarctos*, not divided as in *Ursus*. At the distal extremity the radial facet is relatively much smaller than in *Ursus*. The distal end of the shaft in No. Z 30 is slender, relatively more so than in *Ursus*. In a second specimen from Rancho La Brea (No. Z 32) this part of the shaft is much heavier.

Radius.—This fore-arm bone (plate 8, figs. 3, 3a, and 3b) resembles rather closely in shape the corresponding limb element in *Tremarctos*. The proximal extremity appears to be more as in *Tremarctos* than in *Ursus*. The shaft at the middle is not so heavy as in *Ursus*.

TABLE 9.—Measurements of radius (in millimeters).

	A. simum, Potter Creek Cave.		A. californi- cum Rancho La Brea, No. Z 32 L. A. M. Coll.
	No. 10262 U. C. Coll.	No. 3427 U. C. Coll.	
Greatest length.....	374.5	389.3	
Greatest diameter of proximal extremity.....	52.4	56.3	63
Width of shaft at middle.....	31.4	37.3	35
Thickness of shaft at middle.....	19	24	22
Greatest diameter of distal extremity.....	a59	73.6	

a. Approximate.

Carpus.—The scaphoid-lunar of *Arctotherium* presents in anterior view (plate 8, fig. 4a) a much deeper surface than that of *Ursus*. The postero-internal process is less massive than in the latter form. On the distal side (plate 8, fig. 4), the articulating surface for trapezium and trapezoid is less extensive than in *Ursus* and indicates perhaps that the first metacarpal was less divergent in *Arctotherium* than in *Ursus*.

The most striking difference between *Arctotherium* and *Ursus* in the appearance of the cuneiform (plate 8, figs. 6, 6a) is the greater transverse extent of this element in the former genus. *Arctotherium* resembles *Tremarctos* in this respect. The ulnar articulating surface appears flatter than in *Ursus*.

In the unciform (plate 8, figs. 5, 5a) the lower forward end of the lateral articulating surface for cuneiform is carried on a distinct process, well shown in anterior view. The upper posterior portion of this surface is apparently not so extensive as in *Ursus*. The distal articulation for metacarpals IV and V does not show the disparity between anteroposterior and transverse diameters seen in *Ursus*.

The trapezium (fig. 2) is long and rather narrow. Posteriorly it is heavier than in *Ursus*. The articulating surface for the scaphoid-lunar (S-L) is noticeably less extensive than in *Ursus*. The surface for the trapezoid (Td) is, however, rather large. An inner view

(fig. 2b) shows these surfaces not so sharply separated as in *Ursus*. The surface for metacarpal I is long and narrow. In the pisiform (fig. 4) the posterior process is more compressed than in *Ursus*.




FIG. 2.—*Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Trapezium, No. 24252, U. C. C., $\times 1$. A, view of trapezoid surface (Td); B, inner view, surface for scaphoid-lunar (S-L); C, view of surface for scaphoid-lunar.




FIG. 3.—*Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Magnum, No. Z 106, L. A. M. C. $\times 1$. A, outer view, surfaces for unciform (Un); B, anterior view, surface for scaphoid-lunar (S-L); C, inner view, trapezoid surface (Td); surface for metacarpal II (McII).





FIG. 4.—*Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Pisiform, No. Z 110, L. A. M. C. $\times 1$. A, external view, cuneiform surface (Cu); B, view of ulnar side, surface for ulna (U).

Metacarpus.—A number of metacarpals of *Arctotherium* (plate 8, figs. 7 to 10) are available from Rancho La Brea and from Potter Creek Cave. The specimens from Rancho La Brea are generally larger than those from the cave deposit. Difference in age was considered (Merriam, 1911) in the original description of *A. cali-*

TABLE 10.—Measurements of carpal elements of *Arctotherium simum*, Potter Creek Cave, and *Arctotherium californicum*, Rancho La Brea.

Species.	Collection and No.	Description.	Milli-meters.
Scaphoid-lunar:			
A. simum.	U. C. 5975	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	56.3
Do.....	U. C. 5975	Greatest transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	56
Do.....	U. C. 24253	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	71.6
Do.....	U. C. 24253	Greatest transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	71.8
A. calif.	L. A. M. Z 105	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	58.7
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 105	Greatest transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	57.5
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 108	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	73
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 108	Greatest transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	73
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 109	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	59.4
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 109	Greatest transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	57.7
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 135	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	62.2
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 135	Greatest transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	62.5
Cuneiform:			
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 47	Anteroposterior diameter.....	43
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 47	Greatest transverse diameter.....	58
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 107	Anteroposterior diameter.....	42.8
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 107	Greatest transverse diameter.....	52.2
Unciform:			
Do.....	U. C. 24067	Proximo-distal diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	47.6
Do.....	U. C. 24067	Anteroposterior diameter of distal articulating surface (<i>b</i> -axis).....	34.7
Do.....	U. C. 24067	Transverse diameter of distal end (<i>c</i> -axis).....	36.5
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 45	Proximo-distal diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	50.8
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 45	Anteroposterior diameter of distal articulating surface (<i>b</i> -axis).....	38.5
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 45	Transverse diameter of distal end (<i>c</i> -axis).....	39.7
Magnum:			
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 133	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	40.7
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 133	Greatest proximo-distal diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	40.4
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 106	Greatest anteroposterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	36
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 106	Greatest proximo-distal diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	35
Trapezium:			
Do.....	U. C. 24252	Length.....	38.2
Do.....	U. C. 24252	Depth.....	22.7
Do.....	U. C. 24252	Width.....	18
Pisiform:			
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 110	Length.....	49.6
Do.....	L. A. M. Z 110	Greatest diameter of articulating end.....	31.5



fornicum. Merriam also stated that a single metapodial in the University of California collections from the asphalt deposits resem-


bles in form and in dimensions the corresponding bone of *A. simum* from Potter Creek Cave. The latter specimen, No. 21004, is a third metacarpal and in a comparison with another median metacarpal from the asphalt beds shows clearly the range in size which characterizes the arctotheres of the Rancho La Brea fauna.

Metacarpal I in *Arctotherium* (plate 8, fig. 7) is relatively short in contrast to metacarpals II to V and is absolutely shorter than the corresponding element in *Ursus*. The shaft is relatively slender. At the proximal end of the median metacarpal the anterior articulating surface for metacarpal IV is more extensive than in *Ursus*. The anterior face of the proximal end is somewhat excavated. At the proximal end of metacarpal V the articulating surface for the unciform is broader transversely and the lateral process does not appear to be so rugose as in *Ursus*.

TABLE 11.—Measurements of metacarpals (in millimeters).

A. simum, Potter Creek Cave, No. 3040 U. C. Coll.	I	II	III	IV	V	
Greatest length.....	72.6	96.8	104	106.2	104	
Anteroposterior diameter of proximal end.....	24.2	30	31.6	31	32.3	
Least width of shaft.....	10.8	13.4	14	15.8	16.1	
Greatest width at distal end.....	19.5	23.3	26.5	25.7	25.5	
A. californicum, Rancho La Brea No. 17754, U. C. Coll.		I	III	IV	V	
Greatest length.....		86.8	126.7	130.5	130.2	
Anteroposterior diameter of proximal end.....		29.8	39.3	41.7	43.5	
Least width of shaft.....		13.9	18.7	23	21	
Greatest width of distal end.....		25.7	29.9	34	34	
A. californicum, Rancho La Brea	12768 U. C. Coll. I	Z 93 L. A. M. Coll. I	14816 U. C. Coll. II	21004 U. C. Coll. III	Z 124 L. A. M. Coll. IV	Z 117 L. A. M. Coll. V
Greatest length.....	75.7	81.5	114.3	107.6	123	128.3
Anteroposterior diameter of proxi- mal end.....	23.5	24.8	36.5	33.3	36.9	38.1
Least width of shaft.....	10.6	11.6	18.6	14.6	18.6	20.8
Greatest width of distal end.....	19	21.2	29.4	24.8	29.7	32.6

Pelvis.—The pelvis of *Arctotherium* (plate 9, figs. 1 and 2) is represented only by fragmentary materials, including, however, all the principal parts. The ilium appears to be relatively shorter than in *Tremarctos*. The outer end is narrowed more than in *Ursus*. The neck of the ilium immediately in front of the acetabulum is wide as



in *Tremarctos*. The pubis in No. Z 48 L. A. M. Coll. is relatively narrower than in either *Ursus* or in *Tremarctos*. The obturator foramen in this specimen is not so wide dorsoventrally as in *Ursus*.

TABLE 12.—Measurements of pelvis of *A. californicum*, Rancho La Brea (in millimeters).

	Z 51 L. A. M. Coll.	Z 50 L. A. M. Coll.	Z 48 L. A. M. Coll.
Ilium, length from rim of acetabulum to anterior border.....	a185		
Ilium, greatest width.....	a175		
Ilium, least width of neck in front of acetabulum.....	65	a78	
Acetabulum, transverse diameter.....		87	
Obturator foramen, greatest anteroposterior diameter.....			90.3
Obturator foramen, greatest dorsoventral diameter.....			58.3

a. Approximate.

Femur.—The thigh bone of *Arctotherium* (plate 9, figs. 3, 4, 4a) possesses a relatively slender shaft, and the lower end is rather broad with anterior face more rounded than in *Ursus*. The lower, inner border of the shaft, above the inner condyle, does not form a sharp ridge. At the proximal end the digital fossa is smaller than in *Ursus* and the lesser trochanter is slightly higher than in the latter genus. At the distal end the patellar surface does not extend upward so far as in *Ursus* and the inner condyle does not reach distinctly below the distal surface of the outer condyle. The latter character suggests, perhaps, that the distal end of the femur in *Arctotherium* was not turned inward as far as in *Ursus*.

TABLE 13.—Measurements of femur (in millimeters).

	A. simum Potter Creek Cave, No. 10211, U. C. Coll.	A. californicum Rancho La Brea, No. 20082, U. C. Coll.
Greatest length.....	513.5	501.8
Greatest transverse width of proximal end taken at right angles to long axis of shaft.....		127
Anteroposterior diameter of head.....	61	62.2
Transverse diameter of shaft at middle.....	a44.5	41.6
Anteroposterior diameter of shaft at middle.....	a37.2	32.9
Greatest width of distal end.....	110.4	108.5
Greatest anteroposterior diameter of distal end.....	83	84.6

a. Approximate.

Tibia.—When viewed from the front the shaft of the tibia (plate 10, fig. 1) shows slightly more curvature in longitudinal extent than in *Ursus*, thus resembling that in *Tremarctos*. Above the cnemial crest the anterior border of the dorsal surface is deeply notched. This notch is, however, not so wide as in *Ursus*; it is inconspicuous

TABLE 14.—Measurements of patella (in millimeters).

	Arctotherium californicum Rancho La Brea.	
	No. Z 97 L. A. M. Coll.	No. Z 98 L. A. M. Coll.
Greatest length.....	72.2	80
Greatest width.....	59	69.3
Anteroposterior diameter through middle of femoral surface..	31	38

in the tibia of *Tremarctos* under observation. On the posterior side of the tibia (plate 10, fig. 3) the two lines marking the attachment of the flexor digitorum muscle, and extending from the base of the outer articulation of the proximal end obliquely toward the inner side, are much farther apart than in *Ursus*, *Arctotherium* resembling *Tremarctos* in this respect. The outer line is quite distinct in its extent along the posterior face and may be traced to the distal end.

Another specimen (No. Z 76, L. A. M. Coll.) from Rancho La Brea lacks the proximal and distal epiphyses. It is heavier than the element described above.

TABLE 15.—Measurements of tibia (in millimeters).

	Arctotherium simum, Potter Creek Cave.		A. californicum, Rancho La Brea.	
	No. 3034 U. C. Coll.	No. 3724 U. C. Coll.	No. Z 31 L. A. M. Coll.	No. Z 76 L. A. M. Coll.
Total length measured along inner side.....	359	a387.6	404	
Greatest width of proximal end.....	106.6	110.1	110.7	
Transverse diameter of shaft at middle.....	34.4	37	40.7	43
Anteroposterior diameter of shaft at middle..	37.7	41.8	41.6	45.6
Greatest transverse diameter of distal end...	87.1	85.6	88.4	
Greatest anteroposterior diameter of distal end.....	47.4	a51	52	

a. Approximate.

Tarsus.—In the calcaneum (plate 10, figs. 4, 4a) the posterior process appears to be relatively narrower in transverse diameter than in *Ursus*. The outer facet for the astragalus is flatter, while the inner facet is supported by a process more pointed than in *Ursus*.

Several astragali of *Arctotherium* (plate 10, figs. 5, 5a) are available from Rancho La Brea and from Potter Creek Cave. Specimens from the asphalt beds show some variation in size. The smallest specimen in the Rancho La Brea collection is distinctly larger than that of

Ursus. No astragalar foramen is present. In *Arctotherium* the outer calcaneal facet is relatively narrow. There appears to be less disparity in size between outer and inner calcaneal facets than in *Ursus*.

In the cuboid of *Arctotherium* the obliquity of the proximal surface for the calcaneum varies somewhat. On the inner side of the cuboid and along the proximal two-thirds are the three articulating surfaces, all connected, for astragalus, navicular, and ectocuneiform. A small facet situated on the inner side and along the distal margin, and separated from the proximal surfaces, articulates also with the ectocuneiform. In the cuboid of the Pliocene *Indarctos? oregonensis* the large proximal facet for the ectocuneiform is discrete. The transverse ridge on the plantar surface of the cuboid of *Arctotherium* is quite prominently developed.

In the navicular (fig. 5) a distinct process is developed on the distal side posterior to the cuneiform surfaces. This process is more pronounced than in *Ursus*.




FIG. 5.—*Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Navicular, No. Z 100, L. A. M. C. $\times 1$. A, view of astragalar surface (As), cuboid surface (Cd); B, view of cuneiform surfaces, entocuneiform surface (En), mesocuneiform surface (Mc), ectocuneiform surface (Ec); C, outer view.

In the ectocuneiform both the articulating surfaces for the navicular and for metatarsal I are concave in anteroposterior direction. The surface for the metapodial is slightly wider transversely, but is shorter in anteroposterior diameter than the surface for the navicular.

The upper posterior extremity of the ectocuneiform forms a larger tuberosity than in *Ursus*.




TABLE 16.—Measurements (in millimeters) of tarsal elements of *Arctotherium simum* from Potter Creek Cave, University of California collection, and *A. californicum* from Rancho La Brea, Los Angeles Museum collection.

Calcaneum.	A. simum, No. 10214.	A. californicum, Rancho La Brea.			
		No. Z 81.	No. Z 84.	No. Z 83.	No. Z 114.
Greatest length (<i>a</i> -axis).....	110	<i>a</i> 116.5	<i>a</i> 115.6	<i>a</i> 127	111.5
Greatest width measured obliquely across sustentaculum (<i>b</i> -axis).....	77.8	85.6	86.7	87.2	75.8
Width of cuboid facet (<i>c</i> -axis)...	39.4	45.8	48.5	50.7	41.8

Astragalus.	A. simum, No. 10215.	A. californicum.				
		No. Z 112.	No. Z 111.	No. Z 96.	No. Z 94.	No. Z 115.
Greatest length (<i>a</i> -axis).....	65.8	79	78	82.8	67.8	73
Greatest width (<i>b</i> -axis).....	72.6	86.2	80.4	87.4	72.5	79.3
Least distance across neck (<i>c</i> -axis).....	40	48.2	44.3	<i>b</i> 50.3	41.6	43.2

a. This measurement does not include the epiphysis at the end of the tuber calcis.
b. Approximate.

TABLE 16.—Continued.

Cuboid.	A. simum, No. 4311.	A. californicum.		
		No. Z 103.	No. Z 104.	No. Z 134.
Greatest antero-posterior diameter (<i>a</i> -axis) ..	b 46	63.7	53	60.9
Greatest proximo-distal diameter (<i>b</i> -axis)....	34.3	42.1	35.9	41
Length of metatarsal facet (<i>c</i> -axis).....	33.3	42	37	42.7
Width of metatarsal facet (<i>d</i> -axis).....	29.2	38	30.8	38.7

Navicular.	A. californicum.		Ectocuneiform.	A. cali- fornicum. No. Z 43.
	No. Z 95.	No. Z 100.		
Antero-posterior diam- eter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	55.4	58.8	Greatest proximo-distal diam- eter (<i>a</i> -axis).....	22.7
Transverse diameter (<i>b</i> -axis).....	43.5	47.5	Antero-posterior diameter (<i>b</i> - axis).....	37

b. Approximate.

Metatarsus.—The first metapodial in the pes (plate 10, fig. 6) is relatively short in contrast to that in *Ursus*. The shaft in metatarsal III increases slightly in width from the proximal third to the distal extremity. The posterior facet on the outer side of the proximal end in this metapodial is directed less proximally than in *Ursus*. In the fourth metatarsal the two articulating facets on the outer side of the proximal end are sometimes separated rather widely. On the inner side of the proximal end the forward facet is concave as in *Ursus*. The posterior facet shows division into two parts, the lower for

TABLE 17.—Measurements (in millimeters) of metatarsals of *Arctotherium californicum* from Rancho La Brea, Los Angeles Museum collection, and University of California collection.

Meta- tarsal.	Collection and No.	Greatest length.	Antero- posterior diam- eter of prox- imal end.	Least width of shaft.	Greatest width of distal end.
I	Z 102, L. A. M.....	75.2	32	12	21.3
I	Z 101 L. A. M.....	73.2	27.7	10.8	18.3
II	Z 40 L. A. M.....	101.3	36.8	16.3	24.8
III	Z 99 L. A. M.....	106.3	37.8	16	23.2
III	Z 90 L. A. M.....	121.2	41.8	20.8	30.5
IV	Z 99 L. A. M.....	115.7	38	17.4	25.2
IV	Z 88 L. A. M.....		40.6	19.8	
V	Z 99 L. A. M.....	115.8	37	15.6	24.5
V	Z 85 L. A. M.....	a129		19.8	30.8
V	No. 24251 U. C. Coll.....	110	39.8	13.8	24.3

a. Approximate.

metatarsal III and the upper part for the ectocuneiform. In the fifth metatarsal the lateral process of the proximal end is prominent, but is relatively not so deep dorso-ventrally as in that of *Ursus*.

Phalanges.—A number of phalanges of *Arctotherium* have been found at Potter Creek Cave and at Rancho La Brea. Several specimens, presumably of *Arctotherium*, from the asphalt deposits are shown on plate 10, figures 9 to 14. The terminal phalanges have the claw-core shortened, in which respect *Arctotherium* differs from *Ursus*.

TABLE 18.—Measurements of phalanges of *A. californicum* from Rancho La Brea, Los Angeles Museum collection.

Phal. No.	Mus. No.	Measurements.	Milli-meters.
I	Z 130	Greatest length.....	64.4
I	Z 130	Greatest width of proximal end.	34.7
I	Z 137	Greatest length.....	56.9
I	Z 137	Greatest width of proximal end.	34.8
II	Z 138	Greatest length.....	33.1
II	Z 138	Greatest width of proximal end.	21.5
II	Z 138	Depth of proximal end.....	18.4
II	Z 139	Greatest length.....	34.4
II	Z 139	Greatest width of proximal end.	22
II	Z 139	Depth of proximal end.....	18.2
III	Z 140	Length.....	35.5
III	Z 140	Greatest depth of proximal end.	26.5
III	Z 141	Length.....	39.4
III	Z 141	Greatest depth of proximal end.	28.7

BIBLIOGRAPHY.

- AMEGHINO, F., 1889. Contribucion al conocimiento de los mamíferos fósiles de la República Argentina. Actas de la academia nacional de ciencias de la República Argentina en Córdoba, pp. 315-320, pl. 2 and pl. 3, figs. 1 and 2.
- 1902. Notas sobre algunos mamíferos fósiles nuevos o poco conocidos del Valle de Tarija. Anal. Mus. Nac. Buenos Aires, ser. 3, vol. 1, pp. 225-261, 7 pls.
- 1904. Paleontologia Argentina (Relaciones Filogenéticas y Geográficas). Conferencias dadas en Buenos Aires (Febrero 23 y 24 de 1904) en el curso especial para Profesores de Ciencias Naturales de los Institutos de Enseñanza Normal y Secundaria de la República Argentina. Pub. de la Universidad de la Plata, 1904, No. 2, p. 40.
- See GERVAIS, H.
- BARBOUR, H., 1916. A giant Nebraska bear *Dinartotherium merriami*. Neb. Geol. Surv., vol. 4, pt. 26, pp. 349-353.
- BOULE, M., and A. THEVENIN, 1920. Mammifères fossiles de Tarija, Mission Scientifique G. de Créqui-Montfort et E. Sénéchal de la Grange. Librairie H. le Soudier, Paris, pp. 231-233.
- BRAVARD, M., 1860. Catalogue des espèces d'animaux fossiles recueillis dans l'Amérique du Sud.
- BURMEISTER, H., 1866. Lista de los Mamíferos fósiles de Terrano Diluviano. Anal. Mus. Publ. Buenos Aires, vol. 1, pp. 144-145.
- 1879. Description physique de la République Argentine, d'après des observations personnelles et étrangères, vol. 3, Animaux Vertébrés, Pt. I: Mammifères vivants et éteints, pp. 170-178.
- COPE, E. D., 1871. Preliminary report on the vertebrata discovered in the Port Kennedy Bone Cave. Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc., vol. 12, pp. 73-102.
- 1879. The cave bear of California. Amer. Nat., vol. 13, p. 791.
- 1891. The California cave bear. Amer. Nat., vol. 25, pp. 997-999, pl. 21.
- 1896. New and little-known mammalia from the Port Kennedy bone deposit. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., vol. 48, pp. 378, 394.
- 1897-1901. Vertebrate remains from Port Kennedy bone deposit. Jour. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., ser. 2, vol. 11, pp. 220-226, pl. 19, figs. 2, 2a.
- FALCONER, H., 1870. Palaeontological memoirs and notes of the late Hugh Falconer, G.M., M.D., with a biographical sketch of the author compiled and edited by C. Murchison, 2 vols.
- FREUDENBERG, W., 1910. Die säugetierfauna des Pliocäns und Postpliocäns von Mexiko. 1: Carnivoren. Geol. u. Palae. Abhandl., vol. 13, pp. 198-209, pls. 21-23.
- FRICK, CHILDS, 1921. Extinct vertebrate faunas of the badlands of Bautista Creek and San Timoteo Cañon, southern California. Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 12, pp. 341-347.
- GERVAIS, H., and F. AMEGHINO, 1880. Les mammifères fossiles de l'Amérique du Sud. Pp. 23 and 25.
- GERVAIS, P., 1848-1852. Zoologie et Paléontologie Françaises. 1st ed., vol. 1, p. 189.
- 1855. Recherches sur les mammifères fossiles de l'Amérique Méridionale. (Extrait de la Zoologie de l'Expédition dans les parties centrales de l'Amérique du Sud, publiée sous la direction de M. le Comte Francis de Castelnau.) Pages 7-9, pl. 4, figs. 1-3.
- 1855. Recherches sur les mammifères fossiles de l'Amérique Méridionale. Ann. d. Sci. Nat. (Zool.), ser. 4, vol. 3, pp. 330-333, pl. 5.
- 1867. Sur une nouvelle collection d'ossements fossiles de Mammifères recueillie par M. Fr. Seguin dans la Confédération Argentine. Comptes Rendus, vol. 65, pp. 279-282.
- 1873. Memoire sur plusieurs espèces de mammifères fossiles propres a l'Amérique Méridionale. Mém. Soc. Geol. de France, ser. 2, vol. 9, pt. 5, pp. 16-21, pl. 24.
- HOLLAND, W. J., 1908. A preliminary account of the Pleistocene fauna discovered in a cave opened at Frankstown, Pennsylvania, in April and May 1907. Ann. Carnegie Mus., vol. 4, pp. 228-233, pls. 57, 58.
- LAMBE, L. M., 1911. On *Arctotherium* from the Pleistocene of Yukon. The Ottawa Naturalist, vol. 25, pp. 21-26, pl. 1-3.


- LEIDY, J., 1854. (Remarks on *Sus americanus*, or *Harlanus americanus*, and other extinct mammals.) Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Phila., vol. 7, p. 90.
- 1860. Description of vertebrate fossils. Post-Pliocene fossils of South Carolina, by F. S. Holmes. Pp. 115-116, pl. 23, figs. 3 and 4.
- LYDEKKER, R., 1884. Indian Tertiary and Post-Tertiary Vertebrata. Siwalik and Narbada Carnivora. Mem. Geol. Surv. India. Palaeont. Indica, ser. 10, vol. 2, pt. 6, pp. 236-239.
- 1885. Catalogue of the fossil Mammalia in the British Museum (Natural History). Pt. 1, pp. 157-159.
- MATTHEW, W. D., 1920. New specimen of the Pleistocene bear *Arctotherium* from Texas. (Abstract.) Bull. Geol. Soc. Amer., vol. 31, pp. 224-225.
- MERRIAM, J. C., 1907. Origin of South American bears (abstract). Bull. Geol. Soc. Amer., vol. 18, p. 660.
- 1911. Note on a gigantic bear from the Pleistocene of Rancho La Brea. Univ. Calif. Pub. Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 6, pp. 163-166.
- 1912. Recent discoveries of Carnivora in the Pleistocene of Rancho La Brea. Univ. Calif. Pub. Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 7, pp. 40-41.
- 1921. Origin and history of the bear family in the western hemisphere, with particular reference to the relation of this question to problems of geographical history. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., vol. 7, pp. 183-185.
- , C. STOCK, and C. L. MOODY, 1916. An American Pliocene Bear. Univ. Calif. Pub. Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 10, pp. 87-109.
- OSBORN, H. F., 1910. The age of mammals in Europe, Asia, and North America. xvii + 635 pp., illustr.
- SCOTT, W. B., 1913. A history of land mammals in the western hemisphere. Pages 548-550.
- SELLARDS, E. H., 1916. Fossil vertebrates from Florida: A new Miocene Fauna; New Pliocene species; the Pleistocene fauna. 8th Ann. Rept. Fla. State Geol. Surv., pp. 98-100, pl. 12.
- SINCLAIR, W. J., 1904. The exploration of the Potter Creek Cave. Univ. Calif. Pub., Amer. Arch. Ethnol., vol. 2, pp. 1-27, 14 pls.
- WOODWARD, A. SMITH, 1900. On some remains of *Grypotherium* (*Neomylodon*) *listai* and associated mammals from a cavern near Consuelo Cove, Last Hope Inlet, Patagonia. Proc. Zool. Soc. Lond., pp. 64-79, pls. 5-9.
- WINGE, H., 1895. Jordfundne og nulevende Rovdyr (Carnivora) fra Lagoa Santa, Minas Geraes, Brasilien. Med. Udsigt over Rovdyrenes indbyrdes Slægtskab. E Museo Lundii, Copenhagen, vol. 2, pt. 4.





Archotherium sinuatum Cope. Restoration of head by Charles R. Knight under direction of John C. Merriam and Chester Stock.



Arctotherium sinuatum Cope Skull and mandible, No. 3001, lateral view, approx. $\times 0.50$. University of California Collections, Potter Creek Cave.



Arctotherium californicum Merriam. 1 and 2, skull, No. Z 1, superior and inferior views, $\times 0.333$. Los Angeles Museum Collections. Rancho La Brea Beds.




1 and 2. *Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Skull No. Z 1, lateral and posterior views, $\times 0.333$.
Los Angeles Museum Collections, Rancho La Brea Beds.

3. *Arctotherium simum* Cope. Mandible, No. 3001, lateral view, $\times 0.333$. University of California
Collections, Potter Creek Cave.



1. *Tremarcus ornatus* (Cuvier). Superior dentition, No. 171011, U. S. Nat. Mus. Recent, South America.
2. *Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Superior dentition, No. Z 1, L. A. M. C. Pleistocene, California.
- 3 and 4. *Indarctos oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody. P₄ and M₂, No. 22362, U. S. Nat. Mus. Recent, Oregon.
5. *Tremarcus ornatus* (Cuvier). Inferior dentition, No. 171011, U. S. Nat. Mus. Recent, South America.
6. *Arctotherium sinuatus* Cope. Inferior dentition, No. 3001, U. S. Nat. Mus. Pleistocene, California.
7. *Agriotherium* (*Hyenarctos*) *schneideri* Sellards. Inferior dentition, No. 6856, Fla. Surv. Coll. Pliocene, Florida.

All figures are occlusal views, $\times 0.873$ (approximate).



1 and 1a. *Arctotherium simum* Cope. Atlas, No. 3035, superior and posterior views, $\times 0.333$.

University of California Collections, Potter Creek Cave.

2 and 2a. *Arctotherium californicum* Merriam. Axis, No. Z 39, anterior and lateral views, $\times 0.333$.

3. Second dorsal vertebra, No. Z 33, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

4. Third dorsal vertebra, No. Z 34, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

5. Dorsal vertebra, No. Z 35, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

6. Last dorsal vertebra, No. Z 36, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

7. Anterior lumbar vertebra, No. Z 37, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

8. Posterior lumbar vertebra, No. Z 38, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

9 to 9a. Sacrum, No. Z 113, inferior and anterior views, $\times 0.333$.

Figs. 2 to 9a are of specimens in the Los Angeles Museum Collections, Rancho La Brea Beds.



Arctotherium californicum Merriam. Los Angeles Museum Collections. Rancho La Brea Beds.

1 and 1a Scapula, No. Z 30, views of outer side and of glenoid articulation, $\times 0.333$.

2 and 2a. Humerus No. Z 30, anterior and proximal views, $\times 0.333$.

3 and 3a. Humerus No. Z 30, posterior and distal views, $\times 0.333$.



Arctotherium californicum Merriam:

- 1 and 2. L. A. M. Ulna, No. Z 30, radial and inner views.
- 4 and 4a. Univ. Cal. Scaphoid-lunar, No. 24253, inferior and anterior views.
- 5 and 5a. Univ. Cal. Unciform No. 24067, inner and anterior views.
- 6 and 6a. L. A. M. Cuneiform, No. Z 107, inferior and superior views.
- 7. Univ. Cal. Metacarpal 1, No. 17751, anterior view.
- 8. Univ. Cal. Metacarpal 2, No. 14816, anterior view.
- 9. Univ. Cal. Metacarpals 3, 4, and 5, No. 17755, anterior view.

Arctotherium simum Cope:

- 3, 3a and 3b. Univ. Cal. Radius, No. 3427, lateral, proximal, and distal views.
- 10. Univ. Cal. Metacarpus, No. 3040, anterior view.

All figures one-third natural size. Figs. 3, 3a, 3b, and 10 from Potter Creek Cave; all others from Rancho La Brea Beds.



Arctotherium californicum Merriam.


1 and 2. Innominate bones, Nos. Z 49 and Z 48, lateral views, $\times 0.285$ (approximate).

3. Femur, No. 20082, lateral view, $\times 0.285$ (approximate).

4 and 4a. Femur, No. 20082, anterior and distal views, $\times 0.285$ (approximate).

5. Patella, No. Z 97, anterior and posterior views, $\times 0.285$ (approximate).

Los Angeles Museum Collections. Rancho La Brea Beds.



Arctotherium californicum Merriam. Los Angeles Museum Collections. Rancho La Brea Beds

1, 1a, and 1b. Tibia, No. Z 31, anterior, proximal, and distal views, $\times 0.300$.

2. Tibia, No. Z 31, lateral view, $\times 0.300$.

3. Tibia, No. Z 31, posterior view, $\times 0.300$.

4 and 4a. Calcaneum, No. Z 114, superior and anterior views, $\times 0.300$.

5 and 5a. Astragalus, No. Z 94, superior and inferior views, $\times 0.300$.

6. Metatarsal 1, No. Z 102, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

7. Metatarsal 2, No. Z 40, anterior view, $\times 0.300$.

8. Metatarsals 3, 4, and 5, No. Z 99, anterior view, $\times 0.333$.

9 and 10. First phalanges, Nos. Z 130 and Z 137, superior views, $\times 0.333$.

11 and 12. Second phalanges, Nos. Z 139 and Z 138, superior views, $\times 0.333$.

13 and 14. Third phalanges, Nos. Z 141, and Z 140, lateral views, $\times 0.333$.

II.

A LLAMA FROM THE PLEISTOCENE OF McKITTRICK,
CALIFORNIA.

By JOHN C. MERRIAM AND CHESTER STOCK.

With four text-figures.

A LLAMA FROM THE PLEISTOCENE OF MCKITTRICK, CALIFORNIA.

BY JOHN C. MERRIAM AND CHESTER STOCK.

INTRODUCTION.

In a provisional list of mammals from an asphalt deposit occurring near McKittrick,¹ Kern County, California, a slender-limbed camel was recognized as certainly distinct from the large *Camelops hesternus* known from Rancho La Brea. Since the preliminary account of the McKittrick asphalt deposit and fauna, further excavating at this locality has resulted in the collection of additional material of the small camel as well as of specimens of a type resembling closely the species *Camelops hesternus*. The slender-limbed camel is undoubtedly closely related to the living llama of South America, and, since this records, for the first time, the presence of the genus *Lama* in the Pleistocene of California, if not in that of North America,² a preliminary statement regarding the form seems desirable, in advance of a complete survey of the McKittrick mammalian assemblage now in progress. The authors appreciate the courtesy of officials of the Midway Royal Petroleum Company for extension of excavation privileges.

Lama stevensi, n. sp.

Type specimen.—A fragmentary mandible with lower dentition, No. 24260 Mus. Pale., Univ. of Calif., from Locality 4096, McKittrick, California. Named for Mr. J. B. Stevens, who brought the McKittrick deposit to the attention of the University of California.

Specific characters.—Type approaching in size the existing llama. Lower molars with enamel of internal faces not as distinctly folded as in existing llama. M1 with median external style. M2 and M3 with antero-external style not as well developed as in *Lama*. Posterior or third lobe in M3 not separated from second lobe as distinctly as in the corresponding tooth of the Recent *Lama*.

The material on which the present description is based consists of fragments of several mandibles and parts of the upper and lower dentition. While the collection unfortunately does not exhibit the important structures of the cranium, the remains of the lower jaw and of the dentition yield on study important data of use in the determination of the form.

The species from the McKittrick Pleistocene approaches in size the existing South American llama and may be found to average larger than this form. *Lama stevensi* is

¹ J. C. Merriam and C. Stock, Occurrence of Pleistocene vertebrates in an asphalt deposit near McKittrick, California. *Science*, n. s., vol. 54, pp. 566-567, 1921.

² J. W. Gidley, records a llama from deposits of late Tertiary or Pleistocene age in San Pedro Valley, Arizona. (See J. W. Gidley, Preliminary report on fossil vertebrates of the San Pedro Valley, Arizona, with descriptions of new species of Rodentia and Lagomorpha, U. S. Geol. Surv., Prof. Paper 131, pp. 119-131, plates 34, 35, 1922.)

considerably smaller and less robust than *Camelops hesternus* (Leidy). In the mandible of the type specimen, No. 24260, figures 1 and 2, the vertical portion of the ramus is largely lacking. In the horizontal ramus the inferior border is practically straight in its extent from a point below M $\bar{3}$ to the symphysis. The symphyseal region is relatively longer and more slender than in the Recent *Lama*. The anterior mental foramen is large and is situated behind the inferior canine, while the posterior foramen is situated below the middle of P $\bar{4}$.




FIG. 1.




FIG. 2.

FIGS. 1 and 2.—*Lama stevensi*, n. sp. Mandible No. 24260, Mus. Pale., Univ. of Calif. $\times 0.33$. Fig. 1, lateral view; fig. 2, superior view. McKittrick Pleistocene.

Judging from the material available, the Pleistocene species was characterized by a dental formula similar to that in the Recent llama. In the type specimen, P $\bar{3}$ is present, while in No. 24258 from McKittrick the tooth is absent. The corresponding tooth has not been noted in specimens of *Camelops hesternus* from Rancho La Brea.

An upper series of teeth, P $\bar{3}$ to M $\bar{3}$ inclusive, No. 24259, of *L. stevensi* is shown in figure 3. P $\bar{3}$ possesses a rather simple crown which closely resembles that in the corresponding tooth of *Lama*. A rudimentary fold of enamel extends inward from each end of the outer crest, but these folds do not meet in median line. The folds are better developed than in P $\bar{3}$ of *Camelops hesternus*. The middle internal root of this tooth may be united with both external, anterior and posterior, roots. In P $\bar{4}$ of No. 24259 the external enamel surface is folded not quite so strongly as in the existing llama. The superior molars exhibit less folding of the external enamel surface and the parastyle and mesostyle are less prominent in these teeth than in the living species.

The lower incisors are relatively small. The lower canine approximates in size the tooth in the living llama, but the crown of the tooth appears to be less curved. P $\bar{3}$, present in No. 24260, is supported on a single root, and has a simple crown consisting of a single cusp compressed transversely. P $\bar{4}$ resembles that in *Lama*, but the anterior portion of the internal surface is apparently not so deeply infolded as in the latter form. In the slightly worn tooth, present in No. 24258, figure 4, a deep infolding of the enamel occurs on the posterior side, while in the specimen showing greater wear, No. 24260, this is replaced by a very small enamel lake.

The inner surface of the lower molars is noticeably less folded than in the existing llama. Each of the molar teeth in No. 24258 possesses a buttress or style at the outer forward end of the antero-external crescent, but this structure is not as prominent as in the living *Lama*. The style is not clearly seen in the molars of No. 24260, figure 1, because of the worn condition of these teeth. Merriam¹ states that this style is absent in M $\bar{2}$ and M $\bar{3}$ of *Camelops hesternus*.



FIG. 3.



FIG. 4.

FIG. 3.—*Lama stevensi*, n. sp. Superior dentition, No. 24259, Mus. Pale., Univ. of Calif., lateral and occlusal views, $\times 0.50$. McKittrick Pleistocene.

FIG. 4.—*Lama stevensi*, n. sp. Inferior dentition, No. 24258, Mus. Pale., Univ. of Calif., occlusal and lateral views, $\times 0.50$. McKittrick Pleistocene.

M $\bar{1}$ in the type specimen is well worn. It is not so much worn in No. 24258. In this specimen M $\bar{1}$ exhibits a distinct style at the outer forward end of the postero-external crescent, just behind the median longitudinal groove. This style is absent in M $\bar{1}$ of the one specimen of the Recent llama available for comparison. The style is also absent in M $\bar{1}$ of *Camelops hesternus*. In M $\bar{3}$ the third or posterior lobe has a relative size comparable to that in living species. The inner surface of this lobe is not so distinctly set off from that of the second lobe as in the Recent *Lama*.

Measurements (in millimeters) of mandible, No. 24260.

Length of symphysis (approximate).....	63
Least width behind inferior canine.....	27.8
Greatest height below anterior border of P $\bar{4}$	27
Greatest height below posterior border of M $\bar{3}$	51
Distance from posterior side of lower canine to anterior side of P $\bar{4}$ (approximate).....	57

Measurements (in millimeters) of superior dentition, No. 24259.

P $\bar{3}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter (taken at base of crown).....	13
P $\bar{3}$, greatest transverse diameter (taken at base of crown).....	8.8
P $\bar{4}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	16.6
P $\bar{4}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	13.2
M $\bar{1}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	25.8
M $\bar{1}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	21.5
M $\bar{2}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	32.3
M $\bar{2}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	19.6
M $\bar{3}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	28.2
M $\bar{3}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	17.1
Length from anterior side of P $\bar{3}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$	104.3

¹ J. C. Merriam, The skull and dentition of a camel from the Pleistocene of Rancho La Brea, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 7, No. 14, p. 315, 1913.

Measurements (in millimeters) of inferior dentition.

	No. 24260	No. 24258
I $\bar{1}$, transverse diameter.....	9.5	
I $\bar{2}$, transverse diameter.....	8.7	
I $\bar{3}$, transverse diameter.....	8.5	
Lower canine, antero-posterior diameter.....	8.7	
P $\bar{3}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	9	
P $\bar{3}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	4.3	
P $\bar{4}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	14.7	13.9
P $\bar{4}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	9.2	8.5
M $\bar{1}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	18.8	21.3
M $\bar{1}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	13.1	14.6
M $\bar{2}$, greatest antero posterior diameter.....	26.2	27.8
M $\bar{2}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	17	15
M $\bar{3}$, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	36.6	34.7
M $\bar{3}$, greatest transverse diameter.....	16.1	14.8
Length from anterior side of P $\bar{3}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$	101.7	
Length from anterior side of P $\bar{4}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$	95.3	98
Length from anterior side of lower canine to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$...	a 161	
Length from anterior side of I $\bar{3}$ to posterior side of M $\bar{3}$	a 222	

a Approximate.

III.

THE PLIOCENE RATTLESNAKE FORMATION AND
FAUNA OF EASTERN OREGON, WITH NOTES ON
THE GEOLOGY OF THE RATTLESNAKE
AND MASCALL DEPOSITS.

By JOHN C. MERRIAM, CHESTER STOCK, and C. L. MOODY.

With forty-five text-figures.

CONTENTS.

	PAGE
Introduction	45
Previous work	45
Geographic occurrence of the fossil-bearing formations	47
Geologic section at type localities of Rattlesnake and Mascall formations	48
Mascall formation	49
Geologic relations of Rattlesnake formation	53
Stratigraphic position of Hipparion	56
Structure	57
Age and relationships of the Rattlesnake fauna	58
Description of fauna	60
Carnivora	60
Canis (?) cf. <i>davisi</i> Merriam	60
Amphicyonid or Ursid sp.	60
Indarctos (?) <i>oregonensis</i> Merriam, Stock, and Moody	61
Indarctos (?) sp.	65
<i>Mustela</i> , n. sp.	67
Felid sp. A, large	67
Felid sp. B, small	68
Rodentia	68
<i>Otospermophilus gidleyi</i> n. sp.	68
Lagomorpha	69
<i>Hypolagus</i> , near <i>vetus</i> (Kellogg)	69
Edentata	70
Megalonychid (?), gen. and sp. indet.	70
Proboscidea	71
Proboscidean remains	71
Equidae	71
<i>Pliohippus spectans</i> (Cope)	71
Milk dentition of <i>Pliohippus</i>	73
Equid limb elements	75
<i>Hipparion sinclairi</i> (Wortman)	76
<i>Hipparion occidentale</i> Leidy	78
<i>Hipparion leptode</i> Merriam or <i>Hipparion occidentale</i> Leidy	79
<i>Hipparion anthonyi</i> Merriam	80
Rhinocerotidae	81
(?) <i>Teleoceras</i> , near <i>fossiger</i> (Cope)	81
Suidae	83
<i>Prosthennops</i> (?) sp.	83
<i>Platygonus rex</i> Marsh	86
Camelidae	87
Bovidae	90
<i>Sphenophalos</i> sp.	90
<i>Ilingoceros</i> or <i>Sphenophalos</i> (?) sp.	90

THE PLIOCENE RATTLESNAKE FORMATION AND FAUNA OF EASTERN OREGON, WITH NOTES ON THE GEOLOGY OF THE RATTLESNAKE AND MASCALL DEPOSITS.

BY JOHN C. MERRIAM, CHESTER STOCK, AND C. L. MOODY.

INTRODUCTION.

During the summer of 1916 a field party from the Department of Palaeontology, University of California, visited the type localities of Rattlesnake and Mascall formations on the John Day River in eastern Oregon. Five weeks were devoted to an examination of these later Tertiary deposits, primarily for the purpose of securing larger vertebrate collections from the two horizons. The lack of topographic maps and the necessity of spending much of the time in fossil collecting curtailed the geological observations that were made. The facts concerning the geology of the Rattlesnake and Mascall formations should be regarded, therefore, as constituting only a reconnaissance report. While the Mascall deposits yielded also mammalian remains, it seems desirable to reserve the consideration of the Mascall fauna for a later paper.

Since the expedition of 1916 small additions have been made to the collections from the Rattlesnake as a result of further work in the field.

PREVIOUS WORK.

The stratigraphy of the John Day basin, including that of the Rattlesnake and Mascall formations, was discussed by J. C. Merriam¹ in 1901. In a later paper by J. C. Merriam and W. J. Sinclair² the known Tertiary faunas of the region were reviewed. The latter paper summarizes the results of faunal work in the John Day basin by former students and lists the assemblages of vertebrates from the various Tertiary horizons in this area. Only a small number of mammalian forms are definitely recorded in this paper from the Rattlesnake beds.

One of the results of the 1916 expedition to eastern Oregon was the discovery of remains of a hyaenarctid bear in the Rattlesnake beds. This material was described in 1919.³ In a review of the

¹ J. C. Merriam, A contribution to the geology of the John Day Basin, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 2, pp. 269-314, 1901.

² J. C. Merriam and W. J. Sinclair, Tertiary faunas of the John Day region, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 5, pp. 171-205, 1907.

³ J. C. Merriam, C. Stock, and C. L. Moody, An American Pliocene bear, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 10, pp. 87-109, 1916.




FIG. 1.—Outline map illustrating occurrences of Miocene and Pliocene mammal faunas in Tertiary provinces of the United States west of the Wasatch Range.

Pliocene mammalian faunas from the Pacific Coast and Great Basin provinces, J. C. Merriam¹ gave a list of mammals comprising the Rattlesnake fauna, based principally on the collections made in 1916. Lastly, M. R. Thorpe² described the canid genus *Araeocyon* from material said to have been collected in the Rattlesnake and which is now in the Marsh collection at Yale University.

GEOGRAPHIC OCCURRENCE OF THE FOSSIL-BEARING FORMATIONS.

The greater part of the field work of the 1916 party was carried on at the type localities of the Rattlesnake and Mascall formations, situated in Grant County, Oregon, near the point where the East Fork of the John Day River enters Picture Gorge. The region is approximately 5½ miles west of Dayville and occupies parts of townships 125, R. 25 E., and 125, R. 26 E., Willamette base-line and meridian.

Observations of the 1916 expedition were limited to the region lying between Birch Creek and Canyon City. The entire drainage in this area is a part of the system of the East Fork of the John Day, which rises in one of the ridges of the Blue Mountains 30 or more miles to the east of Canyon City and flows in a general westerly direction to the vicinity of Dayville. The course from here to Picture Gorge, a distance of 5 miles, is northwest. At the gorge the river turns sharply to the north and flows in a nearly straight line to its junction with the North Fork at the north end of Turtle Cove. From the town of John Day to Picture Gorge the course of the East Fork is almost entirely within the soft tufts of the Mascall formation. Only at the "iron bridge," midway between Mount Vernon and Dayville, where the country road crosses to the south bank, has the stream cut down to the underlying Columbia basalt. It thus pursues a subsequent course for 60 miles. At Picture Gorge, however, the river has cut a sharp, rugged canyon with nearly vertical walls rising 500 feet above the stream-bed, directly through a ridge of basalt. Picture Gorge, which takes its name from certain Indian pictographs on a cliff-exposure at the south end, is perhaps 1½ miles long. The course of the river beyond the gorge to its confluence with the North Fork is through the so-called "Big Basin," the bedrock for the most part being in the John Day formation.

The chief tributary of the East Fork is the South Fork, which unites with the former at Dayville. The course of this stream is almost entirely within basalt or pre-Tertiary crystalline rocks. Cummings and Beach Creeks are the chief tributaries which drain the basalt ridge to the north of the East Fork. Streams to which frequent reference will be made in subsequent discussion are Cottonwood Creek, flowing from the south to meet the East Fork at Mascall

¹ J. C. Merriam, Relationships of Pliocene mammalian faunas from the Pacific Coast and Great Basin provinces of North America, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 10, pp. 421-443, 1917.

² M. R. Thorpe, Two new fossil Carnivora, Amer. Jour. Sci., ser. 5, vol. 1, pp. 477-479, 1921.


Ranch; Rattlesnake Creek, entering the mouth of Picture Gorge, and Little Rattlesnake, its tributary; Rock Creek, which in its lower course closely follows the Mascall-basalt contact west of Picture Gorge; and Mountain and Birch Creeks, the main tributaries of Rock Creek. These streams all drain the region south and southwest of the sharp northern bend in the East Fork at Picture Gorge. Practically all the tributaries may be classed as perennial streams; in fact so dry is the summer climate that the John Day River in September shrinks to brook-like proportions.

A dominant control of the present topography within the East Fork valley is undoubtedly exerted by the Columbia lava, but of great importance in determining the local relief is a resistant rhyolite flow which occurs at a high horizon in the Rattlesnake formation. This serves as a protection to the easily reducible beds which lie at lower horizons, with the result that buttes determined by "rim-rocks" are characteristic features of the physiography. In some cases, notably in the buttes north of Dayville, the gravels attain a considerable thickness above the rhyolite, while in others, particularly in the vicinity of the type section of the Rattlesnake, the lava alone forms the summit of the buttes. To the south of the main valley the rhyolite thins and gradually disappears, so that the hills in this direction take on a rounded and smooth appearance due to the ease with which the "loose" gravels of the Rattlesnake and the soft tuffs of the Mascall break down under the forces of erosion. These rounded hills, however, soon lead to mountains of considerable relief which constitute the divide between the John Day and Malheur drainage systems. The basalt and the crystalline pre-Tertiary rocks of this range give rise to a rugged topography which stands in marked contrast to the low relief of the rather even, rolling lava plateau bounding the valley of the East Fork on the north.

GEOLOGIC SECTION AT TYPE LOCALITIES OF RATTLESNAKE AND MASCALL FORMATIONS.

In the columnar section, figure 2, are given the formations occurring near the type localities of the Rattlesnake Pliocene and Mascall Miocene. Figure 3 sets forth the geologic relations of the formations at these localities.

FIG. 2.—Columnar section showing formations occurring near type localities of Rattlesnake Pliocene and Mascall Miocene on Rattlesnake Creek, John Day Basin, Oregon.



MASCALL FORMATION.

The Mascall formation is represented only in the synclinal depression in the Columbia basalt that extends along the John Day River from Canyon City westward for at least 50 miles. In no portion of this region are the Mascall beds present over an area more than 5 miles wide. A mile or two west of the junction of Mountain Creek with Rock Creek the Mascall is overlapped by the Rattlesnake gravels, so that it is not known to extend farther west than to the vicinity of Antone. The eastward limit of the formation is reached at Mount Vernon. The present distribution of the Mascall is evidently contingent upon the protection afforded the easily reducible tuffs by the basalt walls of the East Fork syncline. The formation




FIG. 3.—Section showing geologic relations of Rattlesnake Pliocene and Mascall Miocene as exposed at type localities, John Day Basin, Oregon.

was once undoubtedly of much greater extent, probably overlying a considerable part of the lava plateau north of the valley. Thus Collier¹ correlates the Dalles group with the Mascall and states that outliers of the latter are to be seen between Condon and the Columbia River. Exposures of the Mascall on Cottonwood Creek, on the south limb of the syncline, do not at all indicate marginal deposition, from which a former considerable southern extension may be inferred. South of the Blue Mountains exposures with a Mascall fauna are to be found over an extensive area.

The relation of the Mascall beds to the Columbia lava is significant, as it has a very definite bearing upon the age of the vast lava fields of the northwest and hence upon the geologic history of the continent. Conditions in the vicinity of Picture Gorge are exceptionally favorable for a close determination of this important date, for here the John Day beds bearing an upper Oligocene fauna underlie the lava with a possible erosion period intervening. Directly above the basalt appears the Mascall formation, which yields a middle Miocene mammalian fauna.

Consideration of the period of extravasation of the lava is contingent not only upon the recognition of Oligocene age for the John Day and middle Miocene age for the Mascall, but also upon the

¹ A. J. Collier, The geology and mineral resources of the John Day region, *Oreg. Bur. Mines and Geol., Mineral resources of Oregon*, vol. 1, No. 3, p. 19, 1914.

assumption of conformity between the Mascall and the basalt.¹ Certain observations tend to indicate that an interval of erosion and possibly a local deformation of the lavas may have occurred before the accumulation of the Mascall tuffs. This evidence is based upon a local variation of Mascall sediments along the strike in company with frequent changes in thickness below a known persistent horizon in the tuffs.

In the rhyolite-covered butte west of Picture Gorge the basal member of the Mascall is a coarse, slightly concretionary, very yellowish tuff bearing occasional small blocks of basalt. West of McDonald's ranch, at the union of Birch Creek and Rock Creek, 4 miles from Picture Gorge, a section of the Mascall is exposed which possesses at least three thick members unknown in the type section. All of these strata are below a massive, white, earthy tuff, termed in the field the "mammal horizon" because of its comparative richness in fossil vertebrate material. The sediments near the McDonald ranch are (a) a series of red tuffs approximating 100 feet in thickness, (b) a grayish-blue sand bearing occasionally dark carbonaceous beds, 50 feet, and (c) a very light, pithy, thin-bedded shale of a walnut-brown color, bearing frequent impressions of stems and occasional rude traces of leaves. The latter member may reach a thickness of 40 feet. On the east side of the John Day River, opposite the Mascall ranch house, appear plant-bearing shales and gypsiferous strata at apparently a lower horizon than is found at the type section. It would thus appear that the surface on which the Mascall sediments accumulated was at least locally irregular. It is probable that the lava now exposed on the west wall of Picture Gorge formed a low hill at the beginning of Mascall time and that the plant-bearing beds were deposited on its flanks in lacustrine basins. The suggestion of pre-Mascall disturbances of the basalt consists in the fact that the present south surface of the basalt slope north of the East Fork, which seems to pass under the Mascall beds, truncates the individual flows of lava exposed in Picture Gorge. This truncation is expressed in the topography of the slope by a step-like appearance, occasioned by the wearing back of certain less-resistant lava beds due to sapping action of the softer tuffs intercalated between the flows. If these conditions are considered sufficient evidence upon which to base an unconformity, then the period of extravasation of the lavas must be somewhat earlier in the early middle or lower Miocene.

The vertebrate remains of the Mascall were obtained at a single horizon, so that a definite datum plane appears to which other horizons may be referred. This is a massive, white, earthy tuff with no trace of bedding planes. It fractures irregularly into great blocks

¹ A. J. Collier, *ibid.*, p. 17, 1914.

J. C. Merriam, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Depart. Geol., vol. 2, p. 306, 1901.

which soon disintegrate, leaving a vertical or overhanging wall, from 20 to 50 feet high. An important element in the preservation of this wall is a hard, yellowish, concretionary tuff, which immediately overlies the earthy fossiliferous zone and weathers into very rough surfaces. These two beds, the concretionary tuff and the earthy tuff, are the most persistent of all the members of the Mascall formation. Their characters are very constant and a number of exposures are to be found from the lava-topped buttes of the type section eastward to Wilson's ranch, a distance of about 12 miles. The "mammal horizon" forms the white bluff in the butte of the type section; its dip of 18° carries it to the level of Rattlesnake Creek at this point, where it appears as the south bank of that stream. The outcrop then pursues a straight line to the Mascall ranch house, appearing in the south bank of the John Day River. Near Mascall's house the beds are covered by terrace deposits and from here to Dayville the outcrops are concealed under Recent and Pleistocene deposits of the river. At Dayville, however, the tuffs are again evident on the north side of the John Day, where they appear somewhat nearer the base than at the type locality, although they are otherwise identical in character with the beds at the Mascall ranch. The strike carries the horizon across the river somewhat below MacRae's ranch. Two miles east of its appearance on the south bank of the river the horizon passes under Rattlesnake gravels and does not reappear to the east. In this last exposure the admixture of siliceous shale with the concretionary tuff layer, and also sub-ordinately with the earthy tuff, indicate marginal lacustrine conditions.

The section from the "mammal horizon" to the base of the formation, immediately to the west of Picture Gorge, consists of alternating yellowish and grayish tuffs. The variations in the basal members of the tuff series east of Picture Gorge and on Rock Creek west of McDonald's ranch have already been mentioned. In the vicinity of Belshaw ranch, 12 miles east of Dayville, the basal portion of the Mascall is lithologically different from the lower horizons of the section farthest west. Sandstones and thick-bedded, white tuffaceous shales bearing numerous, well-preserved plant remains and occasional fish remains replace the coarse yellow tuffs of the type section and the carbonaceous beds of Rock Creek. Particularly prominent is a bluish-gray, medium-grained, massive sandstone overlying the plant-bearing strata which weathers into fantastic pinnacles and crags on dip outcrops and into irregular, cavernous openings in cliff sections. A sandstone of this peculiar character overlies the "mammal horizon" immediately north of MacRae's ranch, 3 miles east of Dayville. Indications are thus that the plant-bearing beds near the Belshaw ranch are not far from the horizon of the fossiliferous tuffs in

the vicinity of the Mascall ranch. On the south side of the John Day in the vicinity of the Belshaw ranch, the Mascall formation contains several horizons of cross-bedded sandstones, grits, and conglomerates, the material of which was derived not from the Columbia lava, but apparently from pre-Tertiary rocks of the region to the east.

Above the "mammal horizon" the Mascall beds at the type section are chiefly light-colored tuffs of medium to fine grain. Rarely, as at the Mascall outcrop on the second butte west of Picture Gorge, small lenses of fine gravel appear intercalated with the tuffs. Plant remains were found at two horizons above the mammal-bearing beds. The first occurrence was in a brownish shale alternating in thin layers with a grayish-blue sandstone, 200 feet above the mammal horizon. The second plant locality was found 1,000 feet above the first in a bluish-gray, arenaceous shale.

The following columnar section was observed at the type locality of the Mascall formation west of Picture Gorge:

	<i>Feet.</i>
1. Uppermost deposit. Gray, yellow, and brownish tuffs.....	400
2. Bluish-gray, arenaceous shale. Leaf impressions.....	2
3. Medium-grained, yellowish, grayish, reddish, and greenish tuffs, with occasional gravel lenses.....	600
4. Brownish shale finely interbedded with bluish sandstone. Leaf impressions.....	5
5. Yellowish, medium-grained tuff.....	200
6. Concretionary, yellowish tuff giving rugged outcrops and rough surfaces.....	20
7. Massive earthy tuff breaking irregularly and forming bluff-outcrops. Mammal horizon.....	30
8. Alternating yellowish, reddish, and grayish fine-grained tuff.....	110
9. Bluish, fine pumiceous sand.....	3
10. Earthy, white tuff.....	20
11. Medium-grained, yellowish and grayish tuffs alternating.....	650
12. Basal deposit. Yellowish tuff with basalt blocks up to a foot in diameter.....	50
Total.....	2,090




FIG. 4.—Section through Tertiary formations near type localities of Rattlesnake Pliocene and Mascall Miocene. *Tb*, Columbia basalt; *Tm*, Mascall; *Tr*, Rattlesnake.

A poor grade of lignitic coal has been found in lower horizons of the Mascall at various localities in the valley of the East Fork. Basalt of an olivine-free type¹ is found interbedded with Mascall tuffs within the basin of Rock Creek. The flows are lenticular and do not reach as far east as Picture Gorge. The basalt exposed on Rattlesnake Creek and Birch Creek has been brought into its present position by a small strike fault.

¹ F. C. Calkins, A contribution to the petrography of the John Day basin, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 3, pp. 165-166, 1902.

GEOLOGIC RELATIONS OF RATTLESNAKE FORMATION.

Unconformably overlying the Mascall beds throughout the basin of the East Fork is an extensive series of gravels and tuffs with an intercalated bed of rhyolite, constituting the Rattlesnake formation. The Rattlesnake occasionally overlaps the Mascall and lies directly upon the basalt; from Antone to Mitchell it lies successively upon basalt, pre-Tertiary, and Clarno; on the south side of the John Day River from the "iron bridge," 8 miles east of Dayville, to Canyon City, it rests upon crystalline rocks of pre-Tertiary age. The Rattlesnake is not certainly known outside the basin of the East Fork, although rhyolite similar to that characterizing the formation is known from the Crooked River region.¹ It is evident that the northern limit of the formation was not far from its present extent in the valley of the East Fork, for in the vicinity of Picture Gorge and in the area from Dayville to Mount Vernon, the rhyolite, lying almost horizontal, abuts upon dip slopes of the basalt with a southerly inclination of 18°. The southern extension was probably somewhat greater than it is at present. The gravels thicken markedly south of the river. This is especially noticeable on Cottonwood Creek and in the hills southwest of Dayville. The rhyolite wedges out on the west side of Cottonwood, and appears as a thin stratum of reddish ash in the large triangular outcrop facing Dayville on the southeast. It would thus appear that the rhyolite was poured out over an ancient flood-plain of the John Day River, and that it never extended laterally beyond this limit.




FIG. 5.—Section showing relationship of Rattlesnake rhyolite, gravels, and tuffs to the Mascall formation. Note stratigraphic position of U. C. vertebrate fossil locality 3060.

The area of Rattlesnake exposed in the butte west of Picture Gorge has generally been termed the type section, and although it really represents but a small part of the entire succession within the formation, it was subjected to more careful study than elsewhere, due to

¹ I. C. Russell, Preliminary report on the geology and water resources of central Oregon, U. S. Geol. Surv. Bull. 252, pp. 59-60, 1905.

C. Stock and E. L. Furlong, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 13, p. 312, 1922.

the presence of mammalian fossils in the tuff and in the gravel. Great local variations occur in the sedimentary portion of the formation. Beds of coarse gravel frequently alternate with the finest earthy tuffs; lenses of one type of elastic material are abundantly included within those of another type, and occasional transitions of the fine tuff into gravel are to be noted along the strike. The following columnar section was measured west of the saddle dividing the two areas of rhyolite at the type locality:

	Feet.
1. Summit of section. Rhyolite. Massive flow with tuffaceous phases, irregular beds of lapilli near base, pumiceous fragments throughout.	28
2. Rhyolitic débris. Surface shows abundance of round basaltic pebbles.	120
3. Gravel, cross-bedded sandstone, and thin lenses of brownish tuff.	15
4. Brown, earthy tuff with concretions.	5
5. Medium-grained grit in lenticular masses.	3
6. Cross-bedded sandstones, brown earthy tuff with irregular concretions, well indurated. Locality 3060 U. C., with <i>Pliohippus</i> , <i>Prosthennops</i> ?	9
7. Brown and yellowish tuff and gravel.	14
8. Tuff, cross-bedded sandstone, and basaltic gravel interdigitating.	25
9. Massive, buff tuff with several indurated layers. Locality 3235 U. C., with <i>Teleoceras</i>	17
10. Rounded, basaltic gravel.	11
11. Buff tuff.	3.5
12. Basaltic gravel, well-rounded and sorted.	4.5
Total.	255

Without exception the pebbles in the gravel at the type locality show much evidence of continued water-wear; the majority of them may be termed "well-rounded." Petrographically the pebbles are identical with the Columbia basalt and it is practically certain that the great lava sheet has been the source of the coarser detrital material of these beds. Closely associated with the gravels are cross-bedded, rather quartzose sandstones and somber-colored tuffs. The sources of these materials are not definitely known, but it seems probable that the sandstones have been derived from the area of crystalline rock southeast of Picture Gorge, while the tuffs may represent the finer material of volcanic eruption, transported to its present position in part by winds and in part by the Tertiary stream on whose flood-plain the gravels were accumulating. The possibility that the tuffs may in part represent reworked Mascall sediments must not be overlooked.

The induration of some of the Rattlesnake beds is extreme; both gravel and tuff may be locally very hard. The sixth and tenth




FIG. 6.—Section across Rattlesnake Creek, showing relationship of Rattlesnake formation to Mascall deposits and position of U. C. vertebrate fossil locality 3058 in the Rattlesnake.

members of the columnar section given above are particularly well cemented by the infiltration of silica and carbonate of lime.

In general the Rattlesnake west of Picture Gorge has been eroded down to the rhyolite flow. A perfectly even, gently sloping surface appears continuously from the butte of the type section to a point several miles beyond Birch Creek; the rhyolite throughout this extent is a "rim-rock" with vertical walls from 10 to 50 feet in height. On Cottonwood Creek and in practically all Rattlesnake




FIG. 7.—Section of Rattlesnake formation exposed on Cottonwood Creek, showing particularly gravel with rhyolite pebbles resting on rhyolite and position of U. C. vertebrate fossil locality 3065.

areas east of Picture Gorge, however, a very considerable thickness of gravels overlies the rhyolite. On Cottonwood Creek the gravels above the rhyolite are 450 feet thick, and although olivine basalt, evidently derived from the Columbia flows, forms by far the largest number of pebbles of the coarser beds, other pebbles appear in abundance. Granitic and quartz pebbles are not at all uncommon, while rhyolite fragments of a character identical with that of the rhyolite flow of the Rattlesnake are frequently to be observed. Tuffs interbedded with the gravels above the rhyolite indicate that there is no great lapse of time between the upper and lower horizons of the formation. North of Dayville the upper gravels are developed to a maximum thickness of 200 feet; at Mount Vernon they are less than 100 feet thick.

The rhyolite flow which characterizes the Rattlesnake throughout its extent varies in thickness up to 50 feet. The wedging out of the flow on the south side of the river has been mentioned. From Dayville to Mount Vernon no rhyolite is known on this side of the river, but "rim-rocks" of the characteristic brownish-red lava are common south of the stage road leading from Mount Vernon to Canyon City. The rhyolite is always massive and bears no traces of fluxion lines or other banding common to acid lavas. It breaks with conchoidal fracture on a large scale. Commonly great irregular columns averaging 20 feet across are produced on the margins of the "rim-rocks." On weathered surfaces the rhyolite is uniformly of a reddish-brown

color, but on freshly broken surfaces it appears bluish-gray. Phenocrysts are limited to occasional small, untwinned feldspars; the greater part of the rock is felsitic. Angular lapilli and fragments of pumice are abundant throughout the lava and may be so concentrated locally that the felsitic matrix nearly disappears. Near the base of the rhyolite, pebbles from the underlying gravels are frequently seen in little black pockets in the grayish lava. In the vicinity of Mount Vernon on Beach Creek unusual jointing phenomena are to be observed in the rhyolite. Columnar structure of a radial type is perfectly developed, producing stony rosettes of large size. The center of the rosette structure is usually near the middle height of the flow, which is 40 feet thick in this region. The columns are well formed and extend to the upper and lower limits of the flow, with an average diameter of 15 inches. About 2 miles southwest of the Wilson ranch and 5 miles east of Dayville, a remarkable exposure of Rattlesnake gravels occurs lying on northerly dipping basalt. These gravels form vertical cliffs, estimated as at least 200 feet in height, and weather into picturesque crags and pinnacles. The horizon of the rhyolite flow was not recognized in this exposure, and it may be that neither the lava nor its acid tuff extended so far south. The formation at this point is estimated to be 400 feet thick.


The maximum thickness encountered in the Rattlesnake was on Cottonwood Creek, where the formation from the basal beds to the top of the upper gravels reaches a thickness of 900 feet.

The surface upon which the Rattlesnake gravels and tuffs accumulated was apparently one of considerable relief, though perhaps of gentle contour. At Picture Gorge not over 50 feet of gravels separate the rhyolite flow from the basalt, while on Cottonwood Creek from 400 to 500 feet of tuffs and gravels lie below this horizon. This represents a thickening of from 350 to 450 feet in a distance of less than 2 miles. The Rattlesnake-Mascall contact in the type section dips decidedly toward the south, and, as the Rattlesnake beds are very slightly disturbed, this becomes an index of pre-Rattlesnake relief. The unconformity between the Rattlesnake and Mascall is one of the most clear-cut geologic features of the John Day basin; the discordance of dip varies from 6° to 15° , and the sharp distinction in the two types of sediments usually permits an easy delimitation of the formations.

STRATIGRAPHIC POSITION OF HIPPARION.

The genus *Hipparion* has at various times been listed with the fauna of the Mascall, but results of the present investigation sustain the conclusion, suggested by Merriam and Sinclair,¹ that this form

¹ J. C. Merriam and W. J. Sinclair, Tertiary faunas of the John Day region, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 5, p. 193, 1907.



is confined to the Rattlesnake formation. Careful search throughout the type locality of the Mascall failed to reveal more than one zone yielding mammalian remains. This horizon is in the type section, approximately 900 feet from the base of the formation. Localities from which Mascall fossils have been collected west of Rattlesnake Creek on the road to Antone, and in the vicinity of Birch Creek, are in this horizon, but have been brought to their present position by post-Rattlesnake faulting. Fossils were frequently found in place in this "mammal horizon" at numerous points between Birch Creek and MacRae's ranch, a distance of 15 miles, but in no case was a horse, more advanced than *Merychippus*, found embedded in the tuff. On the other hand, *Hipparion* was found once actually in place in Rattlesnake tuff and was frequently discovered with *Pliohippus* lying on Rattlesnake gravel and tuff. All known occurrences of *Hipparion* were on or beneath Rattlesnake exposures. Under these conditions the occasional occurrence of a tooth of *Hipparion* resting on Mascall tuff would not seem to negative the evidence indicating restriction of this group to the Rattlesnake formation in this region.

STRUCTURE.

The valley of the East Fork of the John Day River as far down as Picture Gorge appears to be a long, narrow syncline determined by a rather sharp flexure in the Columbia lava and the Mascall tuffs. This structural trough is of variable width, but never exceeds 5 miles in lateral dimension; its length is disproportionately great, being at least 50 miles. In the vicinity of the type section both limbs of the syncline are basalt, but pre-Tertiary rocks appear as the south limb, both east and west of a basalt outcrop of approximately 12 miles in length. The dip on the north limb of the syncline does not vary greatly from 18° , while on the south limb, as seen along Cottonwood Creek, the basalt dips 30° north.

FIG. 8.—Diagrammatic section showing faulting and relationship of beds on Birch Creek, Grant County, Oregon. Tb, Columbia basalt; Tm, Mascall; Tr, Rattlesnake.

Merriam¹ mentions exposures, reported to be lava, in nearly vertical position high up on the southern slope. Collier² reports the lava as vertical "at Flat Creek about 8 miles east of the Picture Gorge locality and 1 mile south of the John Day River."

Faulting has affected the valley of the East Fork, but it is rather subordinate as a structural feature. Faults are to be seen in section on Birch, Rattlesnake, and Cottonwood Creeks. The diagrammatic section (fig. 8) shows the relations found on Birch Creek. The rhyolite of the Rattlesnake is here vertically displaced through a distance of 200 feet, the north side of the fault being the down-thrown side. On Rattlesnake Creek basalt is exposed by faulting, but the actual throw is not at this point determinable. On Cottonwood Creek the rhyolite is displaced vertically 100 feet, but here the down-throw is on the south side of the fault. These exposures are apparently on the same fault. Thus there seems to be indicated a motion on the fault-plane resulting in an uplift on the north side with a rotation in an east-west direction, this secondary tilting being to the west.

AGE AND RELATIONSHIPS OF THE RATTLESNAKE FAUNA.

The Rattlesnake fauna has unusual significance owing to exceptional clearness of stratigraphic relations of the beds in which it occurs. As indicated in the discussion of the geology of the fossil-bearing beds at the type localities of the Rattlesnake and Mascall, the Rattlesnake formation rests in marked unconformity upon the Mascall, which contains a mammalian fauna of middle or upper Miocene age. Through the accumulated Rattlesnake deposits deep cañons have been cut, and in the lower reaches of these excavations are deposits containing a Pleistocene fauna. The age of the Rattlesnake formation is therefore limited on one side by a period of erosion and deformation succeeding accumulation of the Mascall Miocene, and on the other side by a period of erosion preceding accumulation of deposits of Pleistocene age.

The following list of mammals, representing the Rattlesnake fauna, is based chiefly on materials collected in these deposits by the expedition of 1916:

Rattlesnake Fauna.

Carnivora:

- Canis?, cf. *davisi* Merriam.
- Amphicyonid or Ursid sp.
- Indarctos (?) *oregonensis* Merriam,
Stock, and Moody.
- Indarctos (?) sp.
- Mustela n. sp.
- Felid sp. A, large.
- Felid sp. B, small.

Rodentia:

- Otospermophilus *gidleyi* n. sp.

Lagomorpha:

- Hypolagus, near *vetus* (Kellogg).

Edentata:

- Megalonychid? gen. and sp. indet.

Proboscidea:

- Proboscidean remains.

¹ J. C. Merriam, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 2, p. 305, 1901.

² A. J. Collier, Ore. Bur. Mines and Geol., Mineral Resources of Oregon, vol. 1, No. 3, p. 17, 1914.

Rattlesnake Fauna—Cont.

Equidae:

- Plohippus spectans* (Cope).
- Hipparion sinclairi* (Wortman.)
- Hipparion occidentale* Leidy.
- Hipparion leptode* Merriam
- Hipparion occidentale* Leidy.
- Hipparion anthonyi* Merriam.

Rhinocerotidae:

- Teleoceras*?, near *fossiger* (Cope).

Suidae:

- Prosthennops*? sp.
- ?*Platygonus rex* Marsh.

Camelidae:

- Pliauchenia*? or *Alticamelus*?

Bovidae:

- Sphenophalos* sp.
- Ilingoceros* or *Sphenophalos*? sp.

The fauna of the Rattlesnake deposits is widely removed from the mammalian assemblage known from the Mascall Miocene and from the Virgin Valley fauna. It is also distinctly separated from such upper Miocene faunas known in the Great Basin province as the Barstow and the Cedar Mountain. There is certainly a closer relationship between the Rattlesnake and Ricardo faunas than exists between the latter and either the Barstow or the Cedar Mountain fauna. The Ricardo is generally regarded as being of lower Pliocene age.

A comparison between the Rattlesnake fauna and that of the Ricardo suggests that the former is more advanced. The horses of the Rattlesnake include *Plohippus* and *Hipparion*, genera that are known also from the Ricardo. In size and in complexity of the enamel pattern of the cheek-teeth some of the Oregon hipparions seem not far removed from *Hipparion mohavense* of the Ricardo Pliocene. On the other hand, material is available representing types larger than *H. mohavense*, and at least one form is known, namely, *Hipparion anthonyi*, in which a stage of complication of enamel pattern in the cheek-teeth is reached which exceeds that found in the typical *Hipparion* from the Ricardo beds. Among the artiodactyls we should note the contrast between the forms of *Merycodus* from the Ricardo and the larger antelopes related to *Sphenophalos* and *Ilingoceros* of the Rattlesnake fauna. The faunal difference between the Rattlesnake and Ricardo may be due to geographic variation or to earlier appearance in the northern region of the Great Basin province of immigrants reaching North America in Pliocene time. It is probable that the difference between the Rattlesnake and Ricardo faunas is due in part to difference in stage of evolution, with the Ricardo as the earlier stage. It is not probable that the difference amounts to more than a small portion of a geologic period.

Comparison between the mammalian assemblages from the Rattlesnake and from the Thousand Creek of Nevada suggests that the Rattlesnake fauna makes a somewhat nearer approach to the Thousand Creek than does the Ricardo. Species of *Plohippus* and *Hipparion* occur also at Thousand Creek, and one form, *Hipparion leptode*, seems rather closely related to a type of horse from the Rattlesnake. In both the Rattlesnake and Thousand Creek faunas the genus *Merycodus* is absent, or at least is not known by available

materials at the present time, while larger antelopes of the *Sphenophalos* and *Ilingoceros* types are present.

The *Plihippus* forms among the horses of the Rattlesnake are much like those from the *Plihippus coalingensis* zone of the Etche-goin in the Pacific Coast province, while *Hipparion* species in the Oregon fauna show some resemblance to a species from the Hipparion zone of the Pacific Coast province. Antelope remains from the Orinda-Pinole Tuff Pliocene near Pinole, California, bear some resemblance to types known from the Rattlesnake and Thousand Creek horizons.

DESCRIPTION OF FAUNA.

CARNIVORA.

Canis ? cf. *davisi* Merriam.

A fragment of a lower jaw, No. 22384, containing a lower carnassial (fig. 9.) from U. C. locality 3048 of the Rattlesnake formation represents a canid type similar to one very imperfectly known from the Pliocene of the Great Basin region. The metaconid has approximately the size of that in the timber wolves of the *Canis* group. The heel is shorter, but not relatively shorter, than in the timber wolves, and the hypoconid is large. The entoconid region is imperfectly preserved, but this tubercle was apparently




FIG. 9.—*Canis*? cf. *davisi* Merriam. M1, No. 22384 U. C. C.; a, inner view; b, outer view; c, occlusal view; $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

very small in comparison with the size of the hypoconid. No. 22384 may represent an amphicyonine or may belong to a type which has been referred to as *Canis? davisi*, known from the Thousand Creek Pliocene of Nevada.

Measurements (in millimeters) of No. 22384.

M1, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	23.8
M1, greatest transverse diameter across metaconid.....	10

Amphicyonid or Ursid sp.

A fragment of a lower jaw, No. 22462 (fig. 10), from U. C. locality 3045 in the Rattlesnake formation, contains a single molar tooth situated immediately in advance of the base of the coronoid process. There is no alveolus of the tooth posterior to the individual present and a portion of the alveolus anterior to this tooth shows a narrow posterior root resembling the form of posterior root of M2 more closely than that of M1 accompanying tubercular molars as distinctly of a crushing type as the molar in No. 22462. The tooth present is either M3 or M2. It shows a form corresponding in general to that of M2 of the bears. In the trigonid portion the protoconid and metaconid are small, very low, and are connected lightly by a transverse ridge. Anterior

to the protoconid and metaconid there is a wide shelf approximating in area that anterior to the protoconid and metaconid of the bears, but no distinct paraconid is shown. The posterior or talonid region of the tooth is large and the tubercles are very low.



FIG. 10.—*Amphicyonid* or *Ursid* sp. Fragment of jaw with inferior molar tooth, No. 22462 U.C.C.; a, outer view; b, occlusal view; $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

This tooth does not correspond to any M_3 known to the writers in either the ursid or canid group. The characters possessed by this specimen are strikingly similar to those of M_2 of the bears, but there is no suggestion of an alveolus of M_3 and no member of the bear group from the Tertiary has a M_3 as advanced as this tooth. The molar is somewhat longer antero-posteriorly and relatively narrower than M_2 or *Ursavus*, and is also larger than any *Ursavus* form known to the writers. The tooth in No. 22462 is longer antero-posteriorly, narrower, and more specialized for the exclusive function of crushing than in M_2 or M_3 of the *Amphicyon* forms known to the writers. This tooth approaches in some respects M_2 of *Simocyon*, but is somewhat larger and the talonid region is more fully developed. With M_2 of *Simocyon* the specimen represented by 22462 has in common the fact that there is no evidence of another tooth posterior to it.

Amphicyon sinapius Matthew¹ from the Colorado Loup Fork has a more typical *Amphicyon*-like form, and the heel region is entirely different from that of this species.

Amphicyon amnicola Matthew and Cook², described from the Snake Creek beds, is a large form with large and broad M_2 and a large two-rooted M_3 . As nearly as can be judged M_3 of *Amphicyon amnicola* had dimensions close to those of the molar tooth represented in the specimen from the Rattlesnake. It is possible that No. 22462 is related to the Snake Creek species. It is necessary to await the discovery of additional material before forming a final judgment as to the affinities of this form.

Measurements (in millimeters) of No. 22462, M_2 or M_3 .

Antero-posterior diameter.....	18.8
Greatest transverse diameter.....	10.5
Antero-posterior diameter of trigonid.....	12.1
Antero-posterior diameter of talonid.....	6.7
Width of lower jaw at anterior base of coronoid process... 19	

Indarctos(?) oregonensis Merriam, Stock, and Moody.

Indarctos (?) oregonensis Merriam, Stock, and Moody, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 10, pp. 87-109, 1916.

Type Specimen.—No. 22362, University of California collections in Museum of Paleontology. Specimen from Rattlesnake beds located near center of N.W. $\frac{1}{4}$, sec. 30,

¹ W. D. Matthew, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. 16, p. 288, 1902.

² W. D. Matthew and H. J. Cook, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. p. 368, 1909.

T. 12 S., R. 26 E., Willamette base line and meridian, Grant County, Oregon, approximately $5\frac{1}{2}$ miles west of Dayville, and not over three-quarters of a mile south of type section of Rattlesnake formation.

Material available of type specimen includes I₂, I₃, superior and inferior canines, P₁, P₄, M₂, M₂, an atlas, the greater part of humerus, half of ulna, metacarpal V, navicular, cuboid, metatarsal II, and several phalanges.

Dentition.—The incisors, canines, and P₁ (figs. 11 and 12) approach in their characters the corresponding teeth in *Arctotherium*. The upper carnassial differs markedly from all specimens of *Ursus* and *Arctotherium* known to the writers, and approaches closely the type of P₄ known in the several species of *Hyænarcos*. In the Oregon spe-




FIG. 11.—*Indarctos* (?) *oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody. Canine teeth, No. 22362 U.C.C. *a*, upper canine; *b*, lower canine; lateral views. $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

FIG. 12.—*Indarctos* (?) *oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody, P₁, No. 22362 U.C.C.; inner and occlusal views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

cies the paracone is slightly larger than the metacone. The protocone is very large, approximating in its cross-section the size of the paracone, and is situated so far forward that its anterior border is not posterior to the corresponding border of the paracone as in *Ursus* and *Arctotherium*. A large parastyle is present. The tooth is distinctly three-rooted, a large and widely divergent root supporting the protocone. The outer contour of the tooth shows a distinct concavity of the cingulum opposite the posterior side of the paracone.




FIG. 13.—*Indarctos* (?) *oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody. P₄, No. 22362 U.C.C. *a*, occlusal view; *b*, outer view; *c* inner view; $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

P₄ (fig. 13) differs from the corresponding tooth of *Arctotherium* in the relatively large size of the protocone; in the support of the protocone upon a distinct, widely diverging inner or third root; in the situation of the protocone as far forward as the paracone; and in the presence of a large, distinctly separated parastyle. In all of the characters in which this tooth differs from *Arctotherium* it resembles *Hyaenarctos*.



FIG. 14.—*Indarctos* (?) *oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody. M₂, No. 22362 U.C.C.; occlusal and outer views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

M₂ (fig. 14) of the bear from the Rattlesnake beds most nearly resembles that of the ursid type described by Pilgrim¹ from the middle or upper Siwalik beds of India under the name of *Indarctos salmontanus*. M₂ of No. 22362 resembles the type of *I. salmontanus* in its unusual relation of width to antero-posterior diameter, and in the stage of development of the heel. The antero-posterior and transverse diameters are almost identical with those of the type of *I. salmontanus*. As in the Indian species, the paracone and metacone of No. 22362 are clearly defined, the paracone being considerably larger than the metacone. In No. 22362, as in *I. salmontanus*, there is evidence of a large tubercle immediately behind the antero-internal ridge, corresponding evidently to the hypocone. The extreme posterior end of the heel consists of a transverse ridge which is largely worn down.

Considering that in No. 22362 M₂ is considerably worn, and allowing for individual variation, the resemblances between the Oregon specimen and the type of *I. salmontanus* are close. Small differences, such as the more distinctly circular cross-section of the metacone, the smaller hypocone, slightly longer heel, and wider trigon region in the Oregon form, suggest specific distinction such as would be expected in individuals so widely separated geographically.

The characters of M₂ in the Oregon form and in *Indarctos salmontanus* approach those of *Hyaenarctos* except in relative elongation of the heel. The two outer cusps of M₂ in *Hyaenarctos* may show somewhat stronger lateral compression than is noted in the Oregon form. The antero-internal ridge in *Hyaenarctos* may apparently show almost no separation into two divisions, or may be very distinctly divided as in *H. palaeindicus*, in which the hypocone region is imperfectly developed. In *H. punjabiensis* an incipient heel is clearly shown, though it is much less pronounced than in *Indarctos*.

M₂ of the Rattlesnake specimen is distinguished from that of *Arctotherium* by its greater width and much shorter talon. In *Arctotherium* the primitive quadrituber-

¹ G. E. Pilgrim, Records, Geological Survey of India, vol. 44, pt. 3, pp. 225-233, pl. 20, 1914.

cular portion of the tooth is longer antero-posteriorly and the heel is much longer. The arrangement of the tubercles is much the same in the two types, but the tubercles show stronger lateral compression in *Arctotherium*.

The arrangement of the roots supporting M₂ in the Oregon specimen differs somewhat from that in *Arctotherium simum*. In No. 22362 there are two distinct external roots supporting the paracone and metacone regions. These roots in both available teeth are distinct, but are not widely separated. The inner and posterior region is supported by a large, broad root evidently composed of two parts, one of which supports the protocone region and the other originates above the hypocone and the region behind it.

In *Arctotherium* there are also evidently four original root elements, but the roots beneath the paracone and metacone tend to diverge widely, the element above the metacone being in some cases united with the posterior root supporting the heel. In *Arctotherium* the root supporting the protocone may or may not unite with the root above the heel.



FIG. 15.—*Indarctos* (†) *oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody. M₂, No. 22362 U.C.C.; occlusal view. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

M₂ (fig. 15) is distinguished from the corresponding element in the dentition of *Hyaenarctos* and of certain forms of *Arctotherium* by the relative proportions of the trigonid and talonid regions. In the Oregon specimen the antero-posterior diameter of trigonid and talonid are, as nearly as can be determined, approximately equal. The tuberculation of M₂ represents only the inner side of the tooth, on which is shown the relatively high region occupied by the metaconid, and the lower more or less basin-like talonid region. The talonid possessed a rather wide hypoconid ridge, and two smaller cusps on the inner border as in *Hyaenarctos*. The crown of M₂ is supported upon two roots, of which the posterior is the larger.

Skeletal elements.—Perhaps the most characteristic element of the skeletal structures is the humerus (fig. 16). This specimen in No. 22362 is much more massive than the humerus of the California *Arctotherium*, and in this respect suggests the relatively heavy skeletal elements of *Hyaenarctos*. The humerus from the Rattlesnake beds resembles that of *Arctotherium* in the presence of an entepicondylar foramen.

The remaining skeletal elements belonging to No. 22362 from the Rattlesnake formation are, or tend to be, larger and heavier than the corresponding structures in *Arctotherium*.

Relationships.—The Oregon Pliocene bear approximates the characters of *Indarctos salmontanus* Pilgrim in the structure of M₂, the only available tooth representing that genus. The characters seen in the type of *Indarctos* and in the Oregon specimen are not found in other known forms. These characters are most nearly approached in M₂ of *Hyaenarctos*, *Arctotherium*, and *Aeluropus*, and are intermediate between those of *Hyaenarctos* and *Arctotherium*. In the characters of P₄ the Oregon specimen does not differ essentially from the several known species of *Hyaenarctos*, but is distinctly different from *Arctotherium*, *Aeluropus*, and all other known forms referred to the bear group.

The characters of the massive limb elements of the Oregon specimen suggest the limb type of *Hyaenarctos*, and indicate a rather wide separation from *Arctotherium*.

The sum of the known characters of the Oregon bear, specimen 22362, represent a type near *Hyaenarctos*, but tending toward *Arctotherium* in the development of its last upper molar. The *Indarctos* group is nearer to *Hyaenarctos* than to *Arctotherium*.

and, as suggested by Pilgrim,¹ the discovery of a type intermediate between *Hyaenarctos punjabiensis* and *Indarctos salmontanus* might make it difficult to establish a generic separation between *Indarctos* and *Hyaenarctos*.



FIG. 16.—*Indarctos* (?) *oregonensis* Merriam, Stock, and Moody. Humerus, No. 22362 U.C.C.; a, anterior view; b, inner view; c, proximal view. $\times 0.25$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Indarctos(?) sp.

A single upper carnassial, No. 22461 (fig. 17), from U.C.C. locality 3042, represents an ursid type, differing slightly from the type specimen of *Indarctos*(?) *oregonensis*. This specimen was found resting upon beds of the Mascall Miocene, but was immediately below an occurrence of Rattlesnake Pliocene. No. 22461 agrees with the carnassial of the type specimen of *I.*(?) *oregonensis* approximately in its proportions, but differs in the smaller size of the tooth as a whole and in the relatively smaller parastyle of No. 22461. A large part of the protocone is broken away, but the root and a portion of this tubercle remaining indicate that the protocone was of large size.

Measurements (in millimeters) of P₄, No. 22461, are as follows: anteroposterior diameter, 26.7; transverse diameter across protocone (approximately), 19; antero-posterior diameter of paracone at base, 13; antero-posterior diameter of paracone along median line, 3.7.

This specimen differs from P₄ of the type of *I.*(?) *oregonensis* essentially in the somewhat smaller size of the protocone and in the different form of the parastyle. Although the para-

style of No. 22461 has an antero-posterior diameter approximating that of the type specimen of *I.* (?) *oregonensis*, the parastyle in the latter is in reality heavier and probably of more distinctly conical form. Specimen 22461 presumably represents a

¹G. E. Pilgrim, Records, Geol. Surv. India, vol. 44, pt. 3, p. 227, 1914.

different species and possibly a different genus from that of the type specimen of *I. (?) oregonensis*. It is tentatively to be referred to the Ursidae, and presumably represents either *Indarctos* or *Hyaenarctos*. A small portion of the maxillary in No. 22461 shows the alveolus of a P₃, which is distinctly two-rooted. In this respect No. 22461 resembles certain forms of *Arctotherium*. *Hyaenarctos sivalensis* seems also to possess a two-rooted P₃.




FIG. 17.—*Indarctos* (?) sp. P₄, No. 22461 U.C.C.; *a*, occlusal view; *b*, outer view. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, or Mascall Miocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

P₃ of *Hemicyon sansaniensis* is a large tooth which seems to have possessed two roots, although the writers have not been able to make certain of this character. In P₄ of *Hemicyon sansaniensis* the protocone is very large, and there is evidently an incipient parastyle developing upon the cingulum. The protocone is smaller than in No. 22461, and the parastyle is also smaller, but there is a very suggestive resemblance.




FIG. 18.—*Mustela n.* sp. Fragmentary ramus of mandible with teeth, No. 22463 U.C.C.; *a*, outer view; *b*, occlusal view. $\times 2.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

If No. 22461 is derived from the Mascall Miocene instead of from the Rattlesnake Pliocene its somewhat smaller size and slightly less specialized characters would probably be associated with other evidences of less-advanced stage of evolution than that of *Indarctos*(?) *oregonensis* of the Rattlesnake.

Mustela n. sp.

Lower jaw, No. 22463 (fig. 18), from U. C. locality 3045 in the Rattlesnake formation, represents a *Mustela* different from any previously described from the Pliocene deposits of the Great Basin region. This specimen consists of a portion of the right ramus of the mandible with P₄, M₁, the alveoli of the canine, with parts of P₂, P₃, and M₂. The first lower molar is of typical musteline form with a short wide heel on which the hypoconid is a well-developed ridge connecting with the lower eminence of the postero-internal region of the heel. The shearing blade is well developed. The metaconid is large.

The alveolus of M₂ represents the insertion of a single large root. P₄ has a well-developed heel, the posterior region of the protoconid is slightly worn, but the posterior cusp seems not to have been present. P₃ was two-rooted. The portion of the mandible available has dimensions twice those of the pine marten. Two mental foramina are present; one is situated near a point below the root of P₂, and the other below the posterior root of P₃.

A second small fragment of a musteline jaw, No. 22464 (fig. 19), represents a species but little more than half the size of that known by No. 22463. There is present only the worn posterior half of M₁ and the crown of M₂. This species is distinct from that represented by No. 22463.



FIG. 19.—Musteline jaw fragment, No. 22464 U.C.C.; lateral and occlusal views. $\times 2.0$, Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

FIG. 20.—Felid sp. A, large. Distal end of humerus, No. 309 U.C.C.; anterior view. $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Measurements (in millimeters) of lower jaw, No. 22463.

Length of tooth series, P ₂ to M ₂ inclusive, alveolar measurement.....	34.4
P ₄ , antero-posterior diameter.....	8.1
P ₄ , greatest transverse diameter.....	4.3
M ₁ , antero-posterior diameter.....	13.8
M ₁ , greatest transverse diameter.....	5.6
M ₁ , transverse diameter of heel.....	5.2
Depth of mandible below anterior end of M ₁	12
Thickness of mandible below middle of M ₁	6.7

Felid sp. A, large.

A distal end of a humerus, No. 309, fig. 20, belonging to a felid form is slightly smaller than the corresponding part in *Smilodon*. In the Rattlesnake specimen the position of the entepicondylar foramen resembles that in the humerus of the lion more than that of the saber-tooth. The opening in *Smilodon* extends farther internally, reaching slightly beyond a vertical line drawn from the inner end of the distal trochlea and parallel to the main axis of the shaft. In *Felis* the foramen does not tend to reach beyond such a line. The Rattlesnake specimen resembles the true cats in this character.

Two specimens, a scaphoid-lunar and a phalangeal element (figs. 21 and 22), collected at U. C. locality 3060, are comparable in size to corresponding structures in *Smilodon*. The material may represent the same type of cat as that known by the fragment of the humerus, or may belong to a species or genus distinct from the latter



FIG. 21.—Felid sp. Scaphoid-lunar, No. 26786 U.C.C.; *a*, proximal view; *b*, anterior view; *c*, distal view. $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Felid sp. B, small.

A distal end of a humerus, No. 23859, found at U. C. locality 3058, pertains to a cat much smaller than that represented by specimen 309 described above. It belongs to a form smaller than either *Felis daggetti* from the Pleistocene of Rancho La Brea or the Recent mountain lion of California.

RODENTIA.

A fragmentary ramus of the mandible, No. 26793, from University of California locality 3058, fig. 23, represents a squirrel type in the Rattlesnake fauna. The specimen was submitted to Dr. J. W. Gidley of the U. S. National Museum. Dr. Gidley has kindly furnished a description of the material and has concluded that it undoubtedly represents a new specific form. This statement is given below.




FIG. 22.—Felid sp. Phalangeal element, No. 26787 U.C.C.; *a*, dorsal view; *b*, ventral view. $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

FIG. 23.—*Otospermophilus gidleyi*, n. sp. Ramus of mandible, No. 26793 U. C. C.; *a*, inner view with occlusal view of teeth; *b*, outer view. $\times 2.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

We take pleasure in naming the species for Dr. Gidley.

Otospermophilus gidleyi n. sp.

This specimen from the Oregon Pliocene seems to represent a true *Citellus* in the broader meaning of the genus name. *Citellus*, however, has been split up into several genera or subgenera the characters of which are based mainly on skull modifications, and whose relative value is a matter of opinion. With only the material in hand therefore, there is some uncertainty regarding the exact position of the Pliocene species in reference to these minor groups. It is clearly distinguished from the other sciurids

by the combined characters of the relatively shorter anteroposterior extent of all the cheek teeth.

The dental characters are very similar to those of *Otospermophilus*, the group to which I would tentatively refer it. In common with the species of this group the cheek teeth are low crowned, with relatively little heightening of the trigonid portions, and in P $\bar{4}$ the two subequal cusps of the trigonid are closely appressed, making this tooth nearly triangular in outline. These characters are in contrast with the more highly specialized cheek teeth of true *Citellus*, in which the trigonid ridge of P $\bar{4}$ is widened almost as much as in the molars through the wider separation of these cusps, and this portion of all the molars is relatively much heightened. Comparing the fossil species with *O. adocetus* (No. 126120 U. S. Nat. Mus., Biol. Sur. Coll.), a species now living in Mexico, with which it agrees very nearly in size, and with the California species, *O. beecheyi* (No. 3559, U. S. Nat. Mus., Biol. Sur. Coll.), about the only differences to be noted are as follows: In the fossil the talonid basins of all the lower cheek teeth are relatively somewhat shallower and definitely broader in area, the main outer cusps (protoconid and hypoconid) being slightly more marginal in position. The entoconids are almost completely obscured in the nearly continuous, relatively low, and evenly curved postero-internal rim of the talonid basins as in *O. beecheyi*. But the talonid rim is somewhat less elevated and the notch between the rim and the paraconid is less pronounced, being partially filled by a small intermediary cusp not observed or but rarely found in the living species. In *Citellus* and other sciurids in general this border is more or less angulate, and usually the entoconid, though small, is rather clearly defined. A character which seems to distinguish the fossil distinctly from the living species of the citellid group is the much greater relative depth and apparently shorter proportions of the lower jaw. This character and the more marginal position of the outer cusps are common to species of the more generalized types, and seem to indicate a somewhat less progressive stage of development of the fossil species as compared with the living citellids, although in some other respects it may be considered even more progressive than the living species, all of which suggest that the skull when known may present characters which would necessitate proposing a new subgroup for its reception. This step, in my opinion, however, is not warranted on what is presented in the type specimen.



FIG. 24.—*Hypolagus*, near *vetus* (Kellogg). Mandibular fragment with P $\bar{3}$, No. 23174 U.C.C.; lateral view with view of occlusal surface of tooth. $\times 2.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

LAGOMORPHA.

Hypolagus, near *vetus* (Kellogg).

The rabbit remains from the Rattlesnake beds consist mainly of separate teeth and fragmentary limb elements. P $\bar{3}$ in the Oregon form resembles closely the corresponding tooth in the specimen from the Thousand Creek Pliocene referred to *Hypolagus vetus* by Dice.¹ No. 23174 (fig. 24), is slightly smaller than P $\bar{3}$ in the Thousand Creek specimen and the anterior re-entrant angle is a trifle deeper in the former tooth. On the whole, the enamel pattern in the tooth from the Rattlesnake approximates closely that in No. 12567 from Nevada.

¹ L. R. Dice, Systematic position of several American Tertiary Lagomorphs, Univ. Calif. Publ., Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 10, pp. 181-182, 1917.

A number of upper cheek-teeth are preserved, one of which resembles very closely in size and shape No. 19799 from the Cedar Mountain beds, Stewart Valley Miocene of Nevada.

EDENTATA.

Megalonychid? gen. and sp. indet.

A single, fragmentary metapodial, No. 22900 (fig. 25), was collected at U. C. locality 3061 in the Rattlesnake beds. This specimen has been described by Stock,¹ and the following description and comparison can be quoted as follows:

"No. 22900 is short and stout. The lateral wall of the shaft is at right angles to that portion of the dorsal surface which still remains. It indicates that the metapodial possessed a relatively deep shaft and that the latter was presumably quadrilateral in section. The lateral facet for adjoining metapodial is flat and oval and is directed outward and downward. The proximal margin of this facet is not closely approached by the mesopodial surface, but this may be due in part to the worn condition of the specimen. The remaining portion of the mesopodial surface is but slightly concave transversely and does not reach the dorsal margin of the proximal end. Although an offset flanks the lower portion of the distal carina on one side, there was apparently not an extensive offset present on the opposite side.




FIG. 25.—Megalonychid? gen. and sp. indet. Metapodial, No. 22900 U.C.C.; A, side view; B, dorsal view. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

"The Rattlesnake specimen resembles the third metacarpal of *Hapalops* in quadrilateral cross-section of shaft. It differs, however, from this element in having a relatively shorter and deeper shaft. In *Hapalops* the proximal facet of the inner side is more extensive dorso-ventrally, is directed for the most part proximo-internally, and is of an entirely different shape than in No. 22900. The dorsal margin of the proximal end in the latter specimen was not deeply indented in middle line as in the Miocene form. The distal carina in *Hapalops* is slightly more prominent dorsally and the inner offset is also more extensive above than is the offset preserved in the Pliocene metapodial.

"Metacarpal III of *Megalonyx jeffersonii* is approximately twice as long as No. 22900 from the Pliocene. The shaft in the Pleistocene genus is relatively shorter than in *Hapalops*, but not as short as in the Rattlesnake specimen.

"With metatarsal II of *H. elongatus* certain differences and resemblances are to be noted. No. 22900 is relatively shorter and the shaft deeper; the lateral facet is small and flattened, while in *Hapalops* the facet for metatarsal I is relatively large and concave dorso-ventrally; the offset, which is preserved at the distal end, is less extensively developed. In the metatarsal of *Hapalops* (No. 15545 Princeton Univ. Coll.) under

¹ C. Stock, Carnegie Inst. Wash. Pub. No. 331, pp. 20-22, 1925.

observation, the lateral facet articulating with the first metapodial has much the same position and is directed downward in much the same manner as the facet in No. 22900. Below this facet in the Rattlesnake specimen there is some indication of a small knob or boss, as in the second metatarsal from the Santa Cruz beds. Dr. W. J. Sinclair, who has kindly examined a plaster cast of the Rattlesnake specimen, is of the opinion that it represents a second metatarsal and refers it to the right pes. He remarks that the proximal surface is flatter than in *Hapalops*, and in metatarsal II of the latter genus and of *Analcimorphus* this facet and the anterior (dorsal) surface meet in a markedly concave margin, which is not the case in the Pliocene form. If the specimen does represent the second metatarsal, then the inner facet is for the first metatarsal and the proximal surface for the mesocuneiform, as stated by Dr. Sinclair."

Comparative measurements (in millimeters).

	Length through middle.	Depth of distal carina.	Least depth of shaft.
No. 22900, Rattlesnake.....	¹ 52	46.8	30.3
<i>Hapalops elongatus</i> , metatarsal II, No. 15545, Santa Cruz.....	20.6	16.2	7.6
<i>Analcimorphus</i> sp. ² , metatarsal II, Santa Cruz....	30.3	7.6	15

¹ Approximate.

² Measurements taken by W. J. Sinclair.

PROBOSCIDEA.

PROBOSCIDEAN REMAINS.

A number of fragments of cheek-teeth of mastodontine forms are known from the Rattlesnake, but as yet no specimens have been found which show characters sufficiently expressed to permit identification.

EQUIDAE.

Pliohippus spectans (Cope).

The type specimen of *Pliohippus spectans* described by Cope¹ consists of two upper cheek-teeth² listed from Cottonwood, Oregon, the type locality of both Mascall and Rattlesnake formations. The teeth came from a dirty grayish to reddish matrix having a closer resemblance to the characteristic Rattlesnake beds than to the Mascall. The well-authenticated occurrence of *Pliohippus* in place in the Rattlesnake formation and its absence from the Mascall collections taken with the suggested nature of the matrix on the type of *P. spectans* furnish almost conclusive evidence that this species is a Rattlesnake and not a Mascall form.

The species as described by Cope was characterized as possessing very wide fossettes with simple enamel borders, narrow crescents, and small columns. As has already been noted by other writers, this species is a typical member of the *Pliohippus* group as now defined. Its stage of development is near that of *P. interpolatus* of the Clarendon of Texas or of *P. fairbanksi* from the Ricardo Pliocene of the Mohave Desert.

A *Pliohippus* specimen, No. 22388 (fig. 26), from U. C. locality 3060 in the Rattlesnake, is evidently to be referred to *Pliohippus spectans*. No. 22388 includes a complete upper and lower cheek-tooth series. The large crowns of the upper teeth are heavily cemented and moderately curved. The fossettes are wide and show little crinkling of their enamel walls. The protocone of the premolars is very small, is nearly circular in

¹ E. D. Cope, Amer. Nat., vol. 14, p. 223, 1880.

² For figures of these teeth see H. F. Osborn, Mem. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., n.s., vol. 2, pt. 1, p. 165, fig. 132, 1918.

cross-section, and is set off from the protoconule by a sharp notch on the anterior side of the protoloph. In the posterior molars the protocone is somewhat flattened and curved backward toward the hypocone. The characters of the protocone of the premolars resemble those in *P. interpolatus* and *P. fairbanksi*, but the sum of the characters of M_1 and M_2 is closely similar to that in the type molar tooth of *P. spectans*. The species as represented by the present material shows resemblance to *P. interpolatus* but is somewhat smaller. Unfortunately material is not available for comparison with the posterior cheek-teeth of that species. *P. fairbanksi* of the Ricardo Pliocene is also a similar form though distinguished by narrower fossettes. Unfortunately the posterior cheek-teeth of *P. fairbanksi* have not yet been described.




FIG. 26.—*Plihippus spectans* (Cope). Superior and inferior cheek-teeth, No. 22388 U.C.C.; a, outer view; P_1 to M_3 inclusive; b, occlusal view, P_1 to M_3 inclusive; c, occlusal view, P_2 to M_3 inclusive. $\times 0.75$ (approximate.) Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

A large functional P_1 is present in the dentition of No. 22388.

The lower cheek-tooth series of *P. spectans* as represented in No. 22388 (fig. 26c) shows the premolars much wider and heavier than the molars, the difference between the occlusal areas of premolar and molar regions being very marked. The metaconid-metastylid column is short and the inner groove narrow. In the molars the parastylid extends toward the median side as far as the inner border of the metaconid-metastylid column, but in the premolars it falls short of reaching this plane. The antero-internal angle of the entoconid approaches a right angle. The outer walls of protoconid and hypoconid are strongly convex.

A series of somewhat worn cheek-teeth (fig. 27), representing *Pliohippus*, show characters near those of No. 22388 and presumably belong to *P. spectans*. In No. 22391 the crowns are slightly smaller than in No. 22388, and show a slightly greater wear. In this specimen the protocone is united posteriorly with the hypocone. This character may be diagnostic of a group distinct from that represented by No. 22388 or it may be due merely to advanced wear.




FIG. 27.—*Pliohippus spectans* (Cope). Superior cheek-teeth, No. 22391 U.C.C.; outer and occlusal views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

A number of scattered incisor teeth found with *Pliohippus* specimens show large crowns with deep cement-filled pits. These teeth are presumably in some part to be referred to *P. spectans*.

Measurements (in millimeters) of dentition, No. 22388.

Length of tooth-row from anterior end of P1 to posterior end of M3.....	173.8	M3, transverse diameter.....	22
P1, antero-posterior diameter.....	14.5	Length of tooth-row from anterior end of P2 to posterior end of M3.....	165.1
P1, transverse diameter.....	9.4	P2, antero-posterior diameter.....	29
P2, antero-posterior diameter.....	31.5	P2, transverse diameter.....	13
P2, transverse diameter.....	24	P3, antero-posterior diameter.....	27.4
P3, antero-posterior diameter.....	29.2	P3, transverse diameter.....	15.5
P3, transverse diameter.....	26.2	P4, antero-posterior diameter.....	28.4
P4, antero-posterior diameter.....	29.2	P4, transverse diameter.....	14
P4, transverse diameter.....	26.5	M1, antero-posterior diameter.....	24.7
M1, antero-posterior diameter.....	26	M1, transverse diameter.....	12.5
M1, transverse diameter.....	26.8	M2, antero-posterior diameter.....	26.2
M2, antero-posterior diameter.....	25.3	M2, transverse diameter.....	11.4
M2, transverse diameter.....	24.6	M3, antero-posterior diameter.....	31.7
M3, antero-posterior diameter.....	27.2	M3, transverse diameter.....	10

MILK DENTITION OF PLIOHIPPIUS.

Several upper milk teeth of *Pliohippus* (fig. 28), from the Rattlesnake beds have heavily cemented subhypsodont crowns. The enamel bordering the fossettes shows only moderate folding. The protocone is small and flattened or crescent-shaped in the

little-worn teeth. In a worn Dm3, No. 2193 (fig. 28a), the protocone is nearly circular in cross-section. These teeth are larger than any milk teeth of the *Plihippus* type known thus far from the Ricardo Pliocene.




FIG. 28.—*Plihippus*, probably *spectans* (Cope). Superior deciduous cheek-teeth, lateral and occlusal views; a, Dm 3, No. 2193 U.C.C.; b, No. 26791 U.C.C.; c, No. 22392 U.C.C. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

The lower cheek-teeth of the milk dentition of *Plihippus* forms from the Rattlesnake are represented in several specimens. These teeth are heavily cemented and short-hypsodont. The metaconid-metastylid column is narrower transversely, and its inner groove somewhat wider than in the permanent premolars of forms known from this horizon. In Dm2 the entoconid is often truncated obliquely at the anterior end more as in *Merychippus* than is the case in permanent P2 of this species. As shown in No. 22393 (fig. 29), Dm2 may have an antero-external fold on the parastylid and a small fold on the inner side of the hypoconid.




FIG. 29.—*Plihippus*, probably *spectans* (Cope) Dm2 and Dm3, No. 22393 U.C.C.; occlusal and outer views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Measurements (in millimeters) of milk dentition, No. 22395.

Dm2, antero-posterior diameter.....	34.1	Dm3, greatest transverse diameter....	13.3
Dm2, greatest transverse diameter....	12.7	Dm4, antero-posterior diameter.....	32.7
Dm3, antero-posterior diameter.....	30.8	Dm4, greatest transverse diameter....	12.6



FIG. 30.—*Plihippus*, probably *spectans* (Cope). Dm $\bar{2}$, Dm $\bar{3}$, Dm $\bar{4}$, and M $\bar{1}$, No. 22395 U.C.C.; occlusal and outer views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

EQUID LIMB ELEMENTS.

An anterior and a posterior equid metapodial from the Rattlesnake represent horses having approximately the size of the larger horses of the Ricardo Pliocene. Metatarsal III (fig. 31c), was secured at locality 3060 in association with the cheek-



FIG. 31.—Equid limb elements. Metacarpal III, No. 2230 U.C.C.; a, anterior view; b, side view; c, metatarsal III, No. 22425 U.C.C. anterior view. $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

teeth of *Pliohippus spectans*, and the metapodial belongs presumably to this species. On both the front and hind metapodials the distal keel is strongly developed on the anterior side of the distal articular face. The shaft is much less slender than in *Hipparion whitneyi* and approaches rather the proportions in *Pliohippus*. The facets of the proximal end are not shown.

Measurements (in millimeters) of metapodials.

	Metacarpal III No. 2230.	Metatarsal III No. 22425.
Greatest length (approximate)	196.8	238.5
Width of shaft immediately below middle.....	28	25.1
Greatest antero-posterior diameter of shaft at middle.....	20.3	25.2
Width of distal articulating surface (approximate).....	34.6	37.1

***Hipparion sinclairi* (Wortman).**

The type of this species was described from Cottonwood Creek in the John Day region of Oregon. The original specimen was obtained within the limits of the typical area of the Mascall Miocene and of the Rattlesnake Pliocene, and was found in the loose gravels above the Mascall. There is therefore good reason for considering the occurrence of this species as in the Rattlesnake formation.

The type specimen described by Wortman¹ is an upper cheek-tooth, possibly P₄, No. 8178 Amer. Mus. Coll. The species is described as showing characters in general similar to those of *Hipparion occidentale*, but separated from that form by smaller size and "less marked concavity of the antero-internal lobe."




FIG. 32.—*Hipparion sinclairi* (Wortman). Upper and lower cheek-tooth; a, M₁? No. 22363 U.C.C.; outer and occlusal views; b, lower molar, No. 22381 U.C.C.; outer and occlusal views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Gidley² called attention to the fact that *H. sinclairi* is larger than *H. montezumae* and differs from that species in its relatively larger and differently formed protocone, and more simple enamel plications. Gidley also noted that the protocone is concave on the inner face as in *H. affine*.

¹ For figures of this specimen see H. F. Osborn, Mem. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., n.s., vol. 2, pt. 1, p. 196, fig. 159, 1918.

J. L. Wortman, Kansas City Rev. Sci. and Industry, vol. 6, No. 2, p. 73, 1882.

² J. W. Gidley, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. 23, p. 900, 1907.

An upper cheek-tooth (M1?) No. 22363 (fig. 32a), from U. C. locality 3068 in the Rattlesnake beds corresponds approximately in form and dimensions to the type of *H. sinclairi*, and evidently represents that species. This tooth has dimensions of the crown near those of *Hipparion gratum*, but it differs from that species in the much greater antero-posterior diameter and lateral compression of the protocone. In the character of the protocone it resembles *Hipparion molle* from the Jacalitos portion of the Etchegoin Pliocene on the western border of the San Joaquin Valley, and *H. montezumae* from the later Tertiary beds of Lacualtipan, Hidalgo, Mexico. The Rattlesnake specimen is, however, considerably wider transversely than the known material of *H. molle*. The protocone of the type of *H. montezumae* is shorter antero-posteriorly than in No. 22363 and the fossettes seem narrower.

Specimen 22363 resembles *H. sinclairi* in the character of the fossettes and in the extreme compression of the protocone. The protocone is, however, more strongly compressed in No. 22363.

Comparative measurements (in millimeters) of upper teeth.

	Hipparion sinclairi, type P4?	Hipparion sinclairi No. 22363 M1?	Hipparion molle, type M3.
Antero-posterior diameter.....	20.3	20	a 19.3
Transverse diameter.....	19	20	a 15.8
Antero-posterior diameter of protocone....	8.7	9.9	9.4
Height of crown.....	42.3		48

a Approximate.

As the only material certainly determined as *H. molle* consists of three upper molars it is possible that additional collections will show a closer connection between *H. sinclairi*, *H. montezumae*, and *H. molle*. Whether or not these forms represent the same species it is worthy of note that they all seem to occur at a horizon near early Pliocene.

Two small lower cheek-teeth, No. 22381 (fig. 32b) and No. 22382, were associated with the upper tooth referred to *Hipparion sinclairi*. These specimens have dimensions such as might be expected in lower teeth of *H. sinclairi*. They are much smaller than a number of lower cheek-teeth which approximate the size of *H. occidentale*, and are evidently specifically distinct from the larger form. There seems good ground for determination of these specimens as *H. sinclairi*, of which the lower teeth have not been known up to this time. The two lower teeth referred to *H. sinclairi* are of closely comparable dimensions and are almost identical in form. They resemble the posterior premolars of certain of the more advanced protohippine horses in the extent to which the inner border of the metastylid projects beyond the entoconid on the median side, but the backward curvature of the crowns suggests a molar tooth. As is indicated in figure 32b, the metaconid-metastylid column is long antero-posteriorly, and the valleys anterior and posterior to this column are very narrow. The antero-internal side of the entoconid is filled out and not obliquely truncated as in *Merychippus*. There is an antero-external ridge on the protoconid and a small but well-developed fold appears also on the antero-external wall of the hypoconid.

Measurements (in millimeters) of lower teeth.

	No. 22381.	No. 22382.
Antero-posterior diameter.....	21	21.8
Transverse diameter.....	10.2	10
Antero-posterior diameter of metaconid-metastylid column..	13.1	14.1

Hipparion occidentale Leidy.

This species is reported from beds in the John Day Valley in eastern Oregon by W. D. Matthew.¹ According to J. L. Wortman, the specimens reported by Matthew were collected from the Rattlesnake beds overlying the Mascall at Cottonwood Creek in the John Day Valley.

Two upper cheek-teeth, No. 26788 and No. 26789 (figs. 33, *a* and *b*), obtained at U. C. locality 3057, represent a *Hipparion* species larger than *H. sinclairi* and having a much smaller protocone. In many characters these teeth closely approach *Hipparion mohavense* of the Ricardo Pliocene. There is, however, a tendency to flattening of the protocone beyond the normal stage seen in the Ricardo species. The Rattlesnake




FIG. 33.—*Hipparion occidentale* Leidy. Upper cheek-teeth; *a*, No. 26788 U.C.C.; lateral and occlusal views; *b*, No. 26789 U.C.C.; lateral and occlusal views; *c*, No. 957 U.C.C.; inner and occlusal views. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

species seems also to show a slightly stronger mesostyle and somewhat different folds in the enamel surrounding the fossettes. The characters of these Rattlesnake specimens seem well within the range of variation of *Hipparion occidentale* as at present known, and the writers hesitate to separate them from the Great Plains species.

A single cheek-tooth, No. 957 (fig. 33c), collected in the John Day region by a University of California party in 1900, shows characters near those of *Hipparion occidentale*. This specimen was found at locality 887, which was considered in the field to represent mainly Rattlesnake Pliocene. In the area in which collections were made at this locality Rattlesnake beds cap hills which are formed in part of Mascall Miocene. As yet no specimen of this nature is certainly known from the Mascall exposures. No. 957 approaches one form of *Hipparion occidentale* in size, in form of protocone, and in complication of the enamel bordering the posterior ends of the fossettes. The anterior border of the prefossette is without folds in No. 957, and the general appearance of the borders of the fossettes is one of relative simplicity. This tooth resembles *H. sinclairi* to some extent in the tendency toward simplicity of the enamel folds and in the form of the protocone, but the differences in pattern are considerable. *H. sinclairi* also differs from No. 957 in its considerably smaller size. It is possible that more

¹ W. D. Matthew, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. 12, p. 70, 1899.

material will show that the form of tooth seen in No. 957 grades into that of typical *H. sinclairi*. With existing material it appears to represent a distinct and larger species resembling in many respects one form of the Great Plains *H. occidentale*.

Comparative measurements (in millimeters) of teeth.

	No. 957.	<i>Hipparion occidentale</i> , type.	<i>Hipparion sinclairi</i> , type.	<i>Hipparion sinclairi</i> , No. 22363 M1?
M2, antero-posterior diameter.....	23.5	23	20.3	20
M2, transverse diameter.....	20.1	22	19	20
M2, antero-posterior diameter of protocone.....	8.8		8.7	9.9
M2, height of crown.....	56.2		42.3	

Hipparion leptode Merriam or *Hipparion occidentale* Leidy.

Specimen No. 544 (fig. 34), consisting of three lower cheek-teeth of a large *Hipparion*, was collected by the 1900 party at locality 884 in the region of Rattlesnake Creek west of the Mascall ranch near Dayville, Oregon. These teeth came from an area in which both Rattlesnake and Mascall beds were exposed, but the reddish matrix showing in places on the teeth indicates quite certainly their derivation from the reddish or brownish tuffs of the Rattlesnake which here rests upon the white Mascall strata.




FIG. 34.—*Hipparion leptode* Merriam or *Hipparion occidentale* Leidy. Lower cheek-teeth, No. 544 U.C.C.; outer and occlusal views; a, P2; b, M1; c, M2. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

M2 of the Rattlesnake specimen (No. 544) resembles the peculiar *Hipparion leptode* from Thousand Creek in many characters. An antero-external ridge is present and strongly developed on the protoconid and the hypoconid in both forms. The metaconid-metastylid column is long and deeply grooved externally in both. The external groove is somewhat more acute at the inner end in the Rattlesnake specimen, but this

may be due to difference in wear. The Rattlesnake specimen is somewhat shorter antero-posteriorly, and is a little less compressed laterally than the Thousand Creek type.

P $\bar{2}$ and M $\bar{1}$ of No. 544 resemble M $\bar{2}$ in the presence of the strong antero-external ridge on the hypoconid. P $\bar{2}$ and M $\bar{1}$ differ from the Ricardo forms in the strength of the ridge on the hypoconid. The ridge may be present in the Ricardo specimens, but is very much weaker. In M $\bar{1}$ the metaconid-metastylid column seems to show slightly more compression in the Rattlesnake specimen than in the forms from the Ricardo Pliocene. The crowns in No. 544 seem to be slightly larger. The cement covering is heavier.

This form appears specifically distinct from the Ricardo species and perhaps also from the specimen known from Thousand Creek. It is evidently allied to the latter, as also to a form from the Neohipparion (Hipparion) zone of the North Coalinga region. This species is very close to *Hipparion occidentale* and is not improbably to be referred to that species.

In the collection from the Rattlesnake region of eastern Oregon there is a single lower cheek-tooth, No. 2220, with a rather short, much compressed crown showing in general a close resemblance to *Hipparion leptode* from Thousand Creek. The heavily cemented crown is not greatly worn. It shows more compression than in the Thousand Creek specimen, but is scarcely more than 30 mm. in length, whereas the somewhat worn Thousand Creek specimen evidently reached 70 mm. in length when unworn. It is to be presumed that tooth No. 2220 is a milk molar of the *Hipparion* type like the type of *H. leptode* from Thousand Creek or possibly represents the milk dentition of *H. occidentale*.

Comparative measurements (in millimeters) of teeth.

	No. 544, Rattlesnake.	Hipparion leptode, type No. 19414, Thousand Creek.
P $\bar{2}$, antero-posterior diameter.....	27.2	
P $\bar{2}$, transverse diameter.....	13.2	
M $\bar{1}$, antero-posterior diameter.....	26.7	
M $\bar{1}$, transverse diameter.....	12.9	
M $\bar{1}$, antero-posterior diameter of metaconid-metastylid column..	15.8	
M $\bar{2}$, antero-posterior diameter.....	25.9	29 plus
M $\bar{2}$, transverse diameter.....	10.5	11
M $\bar{2}$, anteroposterior diameter of metaconid-metastylid column...	14.4	14.6
M $\bar{2}$, greatest length of crown.....	57.5 (worn)	66

Hipparion anthonyi Merriam.

A very fragmentary specimen consisting of parts of several upper cheek-teeth from U. C. locality 3062 in the Rattlesnake beds represents a *Hipparion* of approximately the size seen in *Hipparion occidentale*. This specimen, No. 22386 (fig. 35, *a* and *b*), differs from those referred to *H. occidentale* in that the enamel folds bordering the fossettes are more numerous, more closely appressed, and sharper. The protocone is comparatively short antero-posteriorly, and approaches a circular cross-section a little more closely in the protocone of *H. occidentale*. As specimen 22386 represents an individual in which the crowns are worn well toward the base, the character of the enamel folds bordering the fossettes has considerable significance, as the folds tend generally to simplify toward the base of the tooth. The shortness of the crown coupled with complexity of enamel folds might be interpreted as indicating that these teeth represent the milk dentition, but the crown is, as far as can be determined, much wider

than in the milk teeth of *Hipparion*. This species is possibly related to *Hipparion mohavense* of the Ricardo Pliocene or it may represent the upper dentition of *Hipparion anthonyi* of the Ironside region across the Blue Mountains to the southeast of the John Day Valley.




FIG. 35.—*Hipparion anthonyi* Merriam. Upper cheek-teeth; lateral and occlusal views; a and b, No. 22386 U.C.C.; c, No. 22362 U.C.C. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

A second individual, No. 22362 (fig. 35c), found with the specimen of *Indarctos* (?) *oregonensis* at locality 3058, consists of a single permanent P2, worn down close to the base of the crown. The circular protocone is lightly connected with the protoconule. In spite of the stage of wear, the enamel bordering the fossettes shows very numerous, strongly marked folds. This tooth, which clearly represents the permanent dentition, resembles those of No. 22386 in the extreme complication of the enamel folds in a section of the crown near the base and in the short antero-posterior diameter of the protocone. It probably represents the same species as No. 22386.

RHINOCEROTIDAE.

?*Teleoceras*, near *fossiger* (Cope).

Remains of rhinoceroses from the Rattlesnake deposits consist of several teeth and include a very fragmentary portion of a mandible in which milk teeth are being replaced by the permanent set. In addition to these specimens there is also available some skeletal material, comprising, among other elements, a lunar, a third metacarpal and metatarsal, and several calcanei.

Specimen 23177, fig. 36a, an unworn tooth from locality 3060, represents presumably either P2 or Dm2. The Oregon specimen bears some resemblance in shape to P2, as figured by Leidy and Lucas¹ for *Teleoceras fossiger* from Florida, but it is slightly larger. Although the crochet and anticrochet are well developed in No. 23177, there is no union between them and this separation exists to the base. Two cristae are developed, the anterior of which is the more prominent one. They are closely situated and remain disconnected throughout their length, with each other and with the crochet.

No. 23177 resembles Dm2 closely in size and in presence of the two cristae, but in the specimens of this tooth figured by Leidy and Lucas² the cristae have united with adjoining structures inclosing two small enamel lakes.

M3, No. 23175 (fig. 36b) from the Rattlesnake, is smaller but more worn than the corresponding tooth of *T. fossiger* from Florida, as figured by Leidy and Lucas. In the Rattlesnake specimen the anterior transverse crest is more simple than in the tooth from Florida and the anticrochet is represented by a convexity only. The crochet is, however, well developed. The paracone and parastyle are separated by an open groove which extends the entire height of the crown, thus differing from the Florida

¹ Trans. Wagner Free Inst. Sci., vol. 4, pl. 8, fig. 7, 1896.

² *Op. cit.*, pl. 8, figs. 5 and 13, 1896.

specimen in which such separation is absent. The hypocone in the tooth from Oregon is conical and is separated by a shallow notch from the remaining portion of the metaloph.

The Rattlesnake specimen, when compared with the corresponding tooth in No. 22420, a skull of *Teleoceras fossiger* from the Republican River beds of Kansas, is seen to be much smaller. There is a very distinct anticrochet developed, but the crochet is rather small in M₃ of the Kansan form. The tooth from Oregon differs also from the latter in decided separation of parastyle and paracone.



FIG. 36.—? *Teleoceras*, near *fossiger* (Cope). Teeth and skeletal elements; *a*, P₂ or Dm₂, No. 23177 U.C.C.; occlusal view; $\times 1.0$. *b*, M₃, No. 23175 U.C.C.; occlusal view; $\times 1.0$. *c*, metacarpal III, No. 23181 U.C.C.; anterior view; $\times 0.50$. *d*, scaphoid, No. 23860 U.C.C.; antero-internal view; $\times 0.50$. *e*, lunar, No. 23178, $\times 0.50$. *f*, calcaneum, No. 23179 U.C.C.; $\times 0.50$; *g*, metatarsal III, No. 23182 U.C.C.; anterior view; $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

The degree of brachypedy in the Rattlesnake form is comparable to that of *T. fossiger* from the Republican River beds, and there is close similarity between the Oregon and Kansan individuals, not only in the metapodials (figs. 36*c* and *g*) but in other elements of the foot as well. The calcaneum (fig. 36*f*) possesses the long posterior projection characteristic of *T. fossiger*. At the articular end the transverse width in all specimens available decidedly exceeds the antero-posterior diameter.

The rhinoceros known from locality 3068 by a left lunar, No. 23178, fig. 36*e*, and a right scaphoid, No. 23860, fig. 36*d*, was somewhat larger than the adult specimen of

T. fossiger, No. 22552, from the Republican River and in the collections of the Museum of Palaeontology, University of California.

Measurements (in millimeters) of dentition.

P2 or Dm2, No. 23177, greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	38.5
P2 or Dm2, No. 23177, greatest transverse diameter.....	33.2
M3, No. 23175, antero-posterior diameter along inner side.....	45.7
M3, No. 23175, transverse diameter along anterior crest.....	52.7

Measurements (in millimeters) of foot elements.

Lunar, No. 23178:		
Greatest antero-posterior diameter.....		88.9
Width (approximate).....		43
Dorso-ventral diameter.....		54.1
Metacarpal III, No. 23181:		
Greatest length.....		121.9
Width of proximal end.....		73.5
Width of distal end.....		67.5
Calcaneum:		
	No. 23179	No. 23180
Total length.....	152.1	
Antero-posterior diameter of articular end.....	56.2	65.7
Transverse diameter of articular end.....		86.2
Metatarsal III, No. 23182:		
Greatest length (approximate).....		103
Transverse diameter of proximal end.....		55.2
Antero-posterior diameter of proximal end.....		41.

SUIDAE.

Prosthennops ? sp.

Most of the material pertaining to the peccary was collected at locality 3060 in Rattlesnake gravels and tuffs, and consists of fragmentary parts of jaws and of the dentition. Among the incomplete series of teeth secured there are very often deciduous molars, thus indicating, seemingly, that the band of peccaries whose remains accumulated at locality 3060 consisted of many relatively young individuals.

FIG. 37.—*Prosthennops*? sp. Fragment of maxillary with teeth, No. 23862 U.C.C.; lateral and occlusal views; $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.



A fragmentary specimen of the right maxillary, No. 23862 (fig. 37), bears three deciduous molars and the first permanent molar. The milk teeth are much worn. The two anterior teeth of the deciduous set have not become molariform, a character which has been acquired, however, by the third tooth. The former teeth resemble corresponding teeth in *Platygonus*. Dm2 and Dm3 possess each a single cusp on the anterior half and two cusps arranged in transverse row on the posterior half of the tooth. In the type of *Prosthennops crassigenis* the teeth are greatly worn and adequate

comparison can not be made therefore between M_1 of the Rattlesnake specimen and the corresponding tooth in Gidley's species. The facial pit of the maxillary, situated above the deciduous teeth, is deeply formed in No. 23862.




FIG. 38.—*Prosthennops*? sp. Symphyseal part of mandible, No. 22861 U.C.C.; dorsal and lateral views; $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

A symphyseal part of a mandible, No. 23861 (fig. 38), does not possess the canine and incisor teeth in a good state of preservation. In this specimen three incisors are present in each ramus, the medial two well developed and the lateral one rudimentary. The diastema between I_3 and the canine is much longer than in *Tayassu*. The diastema behind the canine is also long, having an extent equivalent to that in *Platygonus*. It is fully as long, if not longer, than that in the Snake Creek specimen, determined by Matthew and Cook¹ as *Prosthennops* cf. *crassigenis*. Two mental foramina are present, one below the anterior border of the canine and near the symphyseal line, the other below and toward the end of the diastema. There is no ventral keel in No. 23861.

The inferior canine in the Rattlesnake species, No. 23868, is of triangular outline with outer face slightly convex, inner face flattened, and posterior face slightly concave. This tooth when unworn shows a distinct recurvature at the tip.

M_1 and M_2 in specimen 23863 (fig. 39a), are close in size to corresponding teeth in the fragmentary ramus of the mandible from the Snake Creek beds referred provisionally by Matthew and Cook to *Prosthennops* and compared with the species *crassigenis*. The lower jaw as represented by the fragment from the Rattlesnake compares favorably in size with the Snake Creek material, but the former belongs to a younger individual. A distinct but shallow groove exists along the inner side and above the

¹ W. D. Matthew and H. J. Cook, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. 26, pp. 389-391, fig. 13. 1909.

lower border of the Oregon specimen as in the Recent *Tayassu*. M1 in No. 23863 is smaller than the corresponding tooth in the jaw from the Snake Creek beds referred by Matthew¹ to *Prosthennops serus*, slightly more so in antero-posterior diameter,



FIG. 39.—*Prosthennops* sp. Mandibular fragments with teeth; lateral and occlusal views; a, No. 23863 U.C.C., functional teeth include Dm4, M1, and M2; b, No. 23864 U.C.C., Dm2, Dm3, and Dm4. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

decidedly more so in transverse diameter. M2 is of same length but decidedly narrower transversely than the corresponding tooth in the Snake Creek species, *P. serus*. The occlusal pattern of the two teeth of No. 23863 and that of the teeth of *P. serus* seem fairly close, but in the Oregon form the cusps are slightly higher.




FIG. 40.—*Prosthennops?* sp. Cuboid, No. 23865 U. C.C.; a, anterior view; b, outer view; c, inner view. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Peccaries appear to have been better represented in the Rattlesnake fauna than in the Pliocene assemblage of mammals found in the Thousand Creek beds of Nevada. The symphyseal fragment described by Merriam² from the latter deposits resembles No. 23861 from the Rattlesnake in possessing the full complement of incisor teeth. The differences which distinguish the Thousand Creek form are very slight and rest in (1) greater width anterior to canine teeth, (2) larger I3, (3) shorter interspace between alveoli for I3 and canine, and (4) larger anterior mental foramen.

¹ W. D. Matthew, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. 38, pp. 214-215, fig. 15, 1918.

² J. C. Merriam, Univ. Calif. Publ. Bull. Dept. Geol., vol. 6, pp. 272-275, fig. 54, 1911.

Measurements (in millimeters) of material referred to Prosthennops? sp.

No. 23862:	
Length of tooth series, Dm1 to M1 inclusive.....	49.8
Dm2, antero-posterior diameter.....	10
Dm2, greatest transverse diameter.....	7.4
Dm3, antero-posterior diameter.....	12.7
Dm3, greatest transverse diameter.....	9.7
Dm4, antero-posterior diameter.....	12.8
Dm4, greatest transverse diameter across anterior half.....	11.2
M1, antero-posterior diameter.....	14
M1, greatest transverse diameter across anterior half.....	12
No. 23863 (possibly same individual as No. 23862):	
Dm4, antero-posterior diameter.....	17.9
Dm4, transverse diameter posteriorly.....	9.3
M1, antero-posterior diameter.....	16.6
M1, transverse diameter posteriorly.....	11
M2, antero-posterior diameter.....	18.3
M2, transverse diameter posteriorly.....	12.8
Depth of lower jaw between M1 and M2 measured normal to tooth row.....	34.2
Thickness of lower jaw below M1.....	17.4
No. 23864:	
Length of lower deciduous series (Dm1 to Dm3 inclusive).....	37.8
Dm2, antero-posterior diameter.....	9
Dm2, transverse diameter posteriorly.....	4.8
Dm3, antero-posterior diameter.....	10.7
Dm3, transverse diameter posteriorly.....	6.2
Dm4, antero-posterior diameter.....	18.3
Dm4, transverse diameter posteriorly.....	8.8
No. 23867:	
Superior canine, antero-posterior diameter at base of crown (approximate).....	13.3
Superior canine, transverse diameter at base of crown.....	7.3
Superior canine, length of crown measured along anterior border.....	27.5
No. 23866:	
Inferior canine, antero-posterior diameter at base of crown.....	13
Inferior canine, transverse diameter at base of crown.....	8
No. 23861:	
Length of symphysis of mandible in horizontal line.....	86.9
Width of symphyseal part of mandible anterior to alveoli for canine teeth.....	29
Vertical depth of symphysis at posterior end.....	20.3
Length of diastema between alveoli for 13 and canine.....	8.3
Right cuboid, No. 23865:	
Antero-posterior diameter.....	22.5
Transverse width anteriorly.....	16
Proximo-distal diameter anteriorly.....	21.3

?Platygonus rex Marsh.

A very large peccary has been described by Professor Marsh¹ from three molar teeth of large size obtained from deposits presumed to be Pliocene occurring in eastern Oregon. L. S. Davis, who was employed by Professor Marsh as collector in the John Day region, recalls securing what he believes to be the type specimen of this species in the Rattlesnake gravels of the type area.

According to Marsh¹ the peculiar characters of *Platygonus rex* are found in its large size, and in the fact that the crowns of the cheek-teeth are composed of well-rounded conical tubercles, few in number. The figures of the type specimen published by Marsh represent a form in which the crowns of the cheek-teeth exhibit a simplicity of the tubercles. In these teeth no transverse ridges or lophs are formed.

Marsh's species presumably represents a form in or near *Prosthennops*. In certain characters it verges towards *Mylohyus*, but in this second genus intermediate tubercles are developed to a much greater extent than in Marsh's species from the Rattlesnake.

¹ O. C. Marsh, Amer. Jour. Sci., ser. 3, vol. 48, p. 273, 1894.

J. W. Gidley¹ has compared *Platygonus texanus* with *P. rex* and indicates differences. As to the age of the latter form Gidley states: "Marsh gives the horizon as Pliocene, but it is almost certainly Upper Miocene."

Of the various dicotyline forms known in the Tertiary of the Pacific Coast and Great Basin provinces the one most closely resembling the type of Marsh's *Platygonus rex* is that represented by two lower molar teeth from the Etchegoin formation² on the western border of the Great Valley of California. Specimen 21360 of the upper Etchegoin fauna shows dimensions and form closely similar to M₃ of Marsh's type of *Platygonus rex*. It is doubtful whether the two forms are specifically identical, but they appear to be closely related.

Comparative measurements (in millimeters).

	Platygonus rex.	No. 21360, Etchegoin formation.
M ₃ , greatest antero-posterior diameter.....	27.4	27.2
M ₃ , transverse diameter.....	16	15.9

CAMELIDAE.

Although some parts of the dentition are available, the greater portion of the camel material from the Rattlesnake beds consists of skeletal elements. Practically all the remains are to be referred to the larger types, such as perhaps would be represented by *Pliauchenia* and *Alticamelus*.




FIG. 41.—*Pliauchenia* ? or *Alticamelus*? sp. M₁ and M₂, No. 23857. U.C.C.; lateral and occlusal views; X1.0. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Several upper and lower molar teeth are available. At locality 3068 M₁ and M₂ were found associated together with a lower molar, and presumably the three teeth belong to the same individual, No. 23857. In the upper teeth (fig. 41) the anterior

¹ J. W. Gidley, Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., vol. 19, p. 479, footnote, 1903.

² J. C. Merriam, Trans. Amer. Philos. Soc., vol. 22, pt. 3, p. 36, figs. 40a and 40b, 1915.

lobe, particularly that in M_2 , is decidedly ridged externally. The following measurements (in millimeters) of these teeth have been taken at the base of the crown:

Length from anterior end of M_1 to posterior end M_2	68.4
M_1 , antero-posterior diameter.....	32.7
M_1 , transverse diameter through posterior lobe.....	30.4
M_2 , antero-posterior diameter.....	38.3
M_2 , transverse diameter through anterior lobe.....	33
Lower molar, antero-posterior diameter.....	36.1
Lower molar, transverse diameter.....	26.3

A somewhat smaller and less worn molar tooth, No. 23856, from the type locality of the Rattlesnake, has the ridges on the outer walls of paracone and metacone even more prominently developed than in No. 23857. The measurements in millimeters of this specimen are: $M_2?$, antero-posterior diameter at base of crown, 37.4; $M_2?$, transverse diameter through anterior lobe, 27.




FIG. 42.—*Pliauchenia?* or *Alticamelus?* sp. Cannon bones; *a*, posterior cannon bone, No. 23853 U.C.C.; anterior view; *b*, No. 23853 U.C.C.; proximal view; *c*, No. 26790 U.C.C.; anterior view. $\times 0.50$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Limb elements.—A cannon bone of the posterior limb is preserved by a considerable part of the proximal half and is comparable in size to the largest of the posterior meta-

podials from Ricardo and referred tentatively to *Pliauchenia* or *Alticamelus*. This specimen, No. 23853, is shown in figure 42, *a* and *b*.

There are at least two types of astragali belonging to the camels, one of which, No. 23854, is similar in size and shape to specimens from the Ricardo Pliocene that have been referred tentatively to *Alticamelus*. A second type of astragalus is apparently indicated by specimen 23861 which is somewhat smaller than No. 23854 and may be tentatively determined as belonging to *Pliauchenia* or *Alticamelus*.

The sustentacular portion of a calcaneum, No. 23858, from the Rattlesnake deposits is of same size as that in specimen No. 21567 from the Ricardo beds, referred to *Alticamelus* or *Pliauchenia*?

Proximal phalanges have not been found as abundantly in the Rattlesnake beds as in the Ricardo deposits. The best preserved phalanx approximates in size specimens from the Ricardo.

Comparative measurements (in millimeters) of limb elements.

	Rattlesnake, No. 23853	Ricardo, No. 23118
Posterior cannon bone:		
Width of proximal end.....	80	81.6
Greatest antero-posterior diameter of proximal end.....	64.4	63
Calcaneum:	Rattlesnake, No. 23855	Ricardo, No. 21567
Depth of sustentaculum.....	81.6	83.5
Width at base of tuber calcis.....	58.7	59




FIG. 43.—*Sphenophalos* sp. Horn-core, No. 22428 U.C.C.; *a*, outer view; *b*, inner view; *c*, dorsal view. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

BOVIDAE.

Sphenophalos sp.

Specimen 22428, found by the 1916 expedition at U. C. locality 3045 in the Rattlesnake formation, represents the basal portion of the horn-core and the posterior-superior region of the orbit of an antelope of the *Sphenophalos* type (fig. 43). In cross-section of the horn-core, No. 22428 is not identical with any of the few specimens of adult *Sphenophalos* from Thousand Creek, but its form falls well within the limits of variation of the Thousand Creek material. While it is not possible to demonstrate that this Rattlesnake specimen is to be referred to the Thousand Creek species, it is evidently a form closely related to it, if it is not identical with it. This is the only known occurrence of a horn-core of any of the several types of Thousand Creek antelopes outside the limits of the typical Thousand Creek beds.




FIG. 44.—*Ilingoceros* or *Sphenophalos*? sp. Fragment of lower jaw and teeth; a, jaw with deciduous teeth and M₁, No. 22426 U. C. C.; b, upper molar, No. 22435 U. C. C.; c, upper molar, No. 22433 U. C. C.; d, P₄ or P₃, No. 22446 U. C. C.; e, lower molar, No. 22445 U. C. C. X1.0. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

Ilingoceros or *Sphenophalos*? sp.

Several specimens of skeletal elements and teeth from the Rattlesnake represent antelope forms approaching some of the Thousand Creek types referred to *Ilingoceros* and *Sphenophalos*. With the scanty material available it is difficult to make an exact determination.

An astragalus, No. 22434 (fig. 45d), from locality 3057, associated with an antelope upper cheek-tooth, has the size and the peculiarities of form characterizing small Thousand Creek antelope astragali referred to *Sphenophalos* or *Ilingoceros*, possibly representing the first of these two genera. In this specimen there is a well-developed flange below the inner trochlear ridge and the posterior end of this ridge terminates in a marked inferior process extending almost at right angles to the antero-posterior plane of the astragalus. The inner trochlear articular surface which extends out upon this inferior process does not bend around beneath the astragalus sufficiently far to turn forward inferiorly as it does in *Antilocapra*. Both the character of this inner flange and that of the posterior process described are distinctive characters of Thousand Creek antelopes. Among the Thousand Creek forms there are two types of astragali: a larger and somewhat wider form in which the flange below the inner side of the trochlea is

low, and a smaller narrower type with less pronounced inner flange. The former is possibly to be associated with the large *Sphenophalos* or *Ilingoceros* and the latter with the small *Ilingoceros* or a small form (No. 22430) presumed to lead to *Sphenophalos*. In both of these types the characteristic peculiar process below the inner posterior end of the inner trochlear ridge is present. Specimen 22434 presumably represents the larger of the two Thousand Creek forms. The measurements in millimeters of No. 22434 are as follows: Greatest length, 34.5; greatest width, 21.9.




FIG. 45.—*Ilingoceros* or *Sphenophalos*? sp. Skeletal elements; a, fragment of scapula, No. 22432 U.C.C.; b, naviculo-cuboid, No. 22436 U.C.C.; c, astragalus, No. 22443 U.C.C.; d, astragalus, No. 22434 U.C.C. $\times 1.0$. Rattlesnake Pliocene, John Day Valley, Oregon.

An astragalus, No. 22443 (fig. 45c), from locality 3045, is much smaller than specimen 22434 and is also narrower transversely. It is of approximately the same size as numerous antelope astragali of the smaller and narrower Thousand Creek type, presumably representing one of the small species of *Ilingoceros* or possibly a small form of *Sphenophalos*. This specimen is slightly narrower than the small Thousand Creek form, but the difference may not indicate more than a specific separation. Small antelope astragali from the Orinda-Pinole Tuff Pliocene at Pinole, California, closely approach the Rattlesnake specimen 22443 in size, and resemble this form in being relatively narrow. In narrowness the Pinole forms may even exceed the Rattlesnake specimen. The measurements in millimeters of astragalus, No. 22443, are as follows: Greatest length, 27; greatest width, 16.9.

The distal end of a small scapula, No. 22432 (fig. 45a), is not distinguishable from the corresponding region of the scapula of a small Thousand Creek antelope, No. 11892, referred to *Ilingoceros*. A naviculo-cuboid, No. 22436 (fig. 45b), from the same locality as the scapula, also corresponds in size and form to small antelope naviculo-cuboid elements found at Thousand Creek and referred to *Ilingoceros*.

A tooth, No. 22435 (fig. 44b), found associated with astragalus 22434, corresponds to an upper molar of *Ilingoceros* or *Sphenophalos*.

A lower jaw with milk dentition and with M1 in function, No. 22426 (fig. 44a), represents an antelope having approximately the size of the small forms of the Thousand Creek fauna. M1 shows form and dimension close to those of specimens from Thousand Creek. In the milk dentition the characters are more advanced than those in *Merycodus* and in some respects more advanced than in *Capromeryx*. The teeth are larger and longer crowned than in *Merycodus* and the anterior lobe of Dm4 is larger. Compared with *Capromeryx* the crowns of the milk teeth are not longer, but the anterior lobe of Dm4 is larger. The inner side of Dm3 possesses a postero-internal fold not present in *Capromeryx*, and there is an antero-internal fold on Dm3 which is only

faintly marked on the corresponding teeth of the Rancho La Brea *Capromeryx*. In *Antilocapra* Dm4 is not larger than in No. 22426, and the anterior lobe is relatively smaller. In *Antilocapra* M1 has dimensions and form near those of No. 22426. Possibly the anterior lobe of M1 in No. 22426 can be distinguished from that of *Antilocapra* by stronger inner curvature at the anterior end. The form of the mandible in No. 22426 in some respects approaches that in *Capromeryx* more closely than it does the outline of the only known antelope mandible specimen from the Thousand Creek beds. In the Thousand Creek specimen No. 22440, the ramus in the region of the diastema narrows comparatively little toward the anterior end. In *Capromeryx* the region of the diastema is relatively short and its height diminishes rather rapidly toward the anterior end. In Rattlesnake specimen No. 22426, the portion of the ramus in the region of the diastema is relatively narrow and slender. This may be due to a relatively early stage of development or it may be a distinguishing character. Young individuals of *Antilocapra* show relative slenderness in the region of the diastema, but no specimens have been examined in which the forward taper is as marked as in the Rattlesnake specimen.

A lower molar, No. 22445 (fig. 44e), associated with the small antelope astragalus No. 22443, resembles M1 of mandible 22426 in form, but represents a somewhat larger animal. It is near the size of certain small lower cheek-teeth of antelopes from Thousand Creek but as in No. 22426 it may be narrower transversely and shows somewhat greater anterior prolongation of the anterior lobe. A portion of a lower P4 or P3, No. 22446, has a crown-pattern approaching that of *Antilocapra* in complication.

A large M1, No. 22433 (fig. 44c), of an antelope, associated with a small scapula, No. 22432, and naviculo-cuboid, corresponds approximately in size and form to a tooth, No. 12605, from the Thousand Creek. In the Rattlesnake form the outer rib on the paracone seems more strongly marked. There is possibly a small difference in this character between No. 22433 and the Thousand Creek form, but the latter is nearer to No. 22433 than is *Antilocapra*.

Comparative measurements (in millimeters) of dentition.

	Hingoceros or Sphenophalos, No. 22426.	Capromeryx, No. 19977.	Antilocapra, No. 8299, U. C. Mus. Vert. Zool.
Dm2, antero-posterior diameter.....	5.5	4.2	
Dm2, transverse diameter.....	2.4	2	
Dm3, antero-posterior diameter.....	7.8	6	7.2
Dm3, transverse diameter.....	4.1	2.7	3.7
Dm4, antero-posterior diameter.....	14.5	9.6	12.1
Dm4, transverse diameter.....	5.4	4	4.6
M1, antero-posterior diameter.....	12.7	9.3	11.8
M1, transverse diameter.....	5.6	4.2	6.1

USGS LIBRARY - MENLO PARK



3 1820 00328144 5